arXiv:0809.2830v2 [math.AG] 14 Jun 2010

THE ALBANESE FUNCTOR COMMUTES WITH THE HODGE REALIZATION

VADIM VOLOGODSKY

Abstract. We prove that the embedding of the derived category of 1-motives up to isogeny into the triangulated category of effective Voevodsky motives, as well as its left adjoint functor $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$, commute with the Hodge realization. This result yields a new proof of the rational form of Deligne's conjecture on 1-motives.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Deligne's Conjecture.** In ([D3]) Deligne showed that the subcategory $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset MHS^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of the category of polarizable mixed Hodge structures consisting of torsion free objects of type $\{(0,0),(0,-1),(-1,0),(-1,-1)\}$ has a pure geometric description: $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ of triples $[\Lambda, G, u]$, where Λ is a free abelian group of finite rank, viewed as a discrete group scheme over $\mathbb{C}$, G is a semi-abelian variety over $\mathbb{C}$ and $u : \Lambda \to G$ is a group homomorphism. The base field $\mathbb{C}$ in the definition of $\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$ can be replaced by any field k; the resulted category $\mathcal{M}_1(k)$ is Deligne's category of 1-motives. We will be primary interested in the category $\mathcal{M}_1(k) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ of 1-motives up to isogeny which is abelian. For $k \subset \mathbb{C}$, Deligne conjectured the existence of the dashed arrow in the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Var_k & \overset{H_i LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}{--\to} & \mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Var_{\mathbb{C}} & \overset{H_i\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}}{\longrightarrow} & MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathbb{Q}, \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

where Var_k denotes the category of varieties over k, vertical arrows are the base change functors and functor $H_i\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ takes $X \in Var_{\mathbb{C}}$ to the maximal quotient of the $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $H_i(X)$ that belongs to $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}$. [1] The functor $H_i LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ should be thought as a higher Albanese functor: for every smooth variety X, $H_1 LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}(X) = [0, Alb_X^0, 0]$, where Alb_X^0 is Serre's Albanese variety. Deligne's conjecture was proved by Barbieri-Viale, Rosenschon and Saito ([BRS]) and by Ramachandran ([R2]). In this paper, we shall show how the functor $H_i LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be extended to a triangulated functor from the category of Voevodsky's motives to the derived category of 1-motives fitting in a commutative diagram similar to (1.1).

1.2. **Motivic Albanese functor.** The first and the most important step in this direction was taken by Barbieri-Viale and Kahn who proved in [BK1] that for every perfect field k the embedding ([V1], [O])

$$Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} : D^b(\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \hookrightarrow DM_{gm}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Q})$$

[1] A more precise integral version of Deligne's conjecture is not touched in the main body of this paper. See however §1.7.

admits a left adjoint functor

$$LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} : DM_{gm}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Q}) \to D^b(\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q}).^2$$

For $k \subset \mathbb{C}$, we can consider the composition of $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with the Hodge realization

$$T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge} : D^b(\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \to D^b(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

The goal of this paper is to compute $T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge}\circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

1.3. **Hodge Albanese functor.** To state our main result we define an analog of the functor $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on derived category of mixed Hodge structures. We shall say that a mixed $\mathbb{Q}$-Hodge structure $(W_\cdot \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^\cdot \subset V_{\mathbb{C}})$ is effective if $F^1 = 0$. Denote by $MHS_{eff}^{\mathbb{Q}} \subset MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}$ the full subcategory of effective polarizable mixed Hodge structures. We prove in Proposition 3.1 that the induced functor

$$\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}} : D^b(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}) \to D^b(MHS_{eff}^{\mathbb{Q}})$$

is fully faithful and admits a left adjoint functor

$$\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}} : D^b(MHS_{eff}^{\mathbb{Q}}) \to D^b(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Explicitly, $\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is induced by the exact functor $MHS_{eff}^{\mathbb{Q}} \to MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}$ that takes $V \in MHS_{eff}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ to its maximal quotient that belongs to $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}$.

1.4. **Main result.** For $k \subset \mathbb{C}$, we denote by

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge} : DM_{gm}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Q}) \to D^b(MHS_{eff}^{\mathbb{Q}})$$

the homological Hodge realization ([Hu1], [Hu2]). In Theorem 3 we construct isomorphism of triangulated functors

$$\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}}\circ T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge} \simeq R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge}\circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tag{1.2}$$

$$\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}\circ R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge} \simeq T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge}\circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}. \tag{1.3}$$

In particular, letting $H_iLAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ be the i-th homology of the complex $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}(M(X)) \in D^b(\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ we recover the commutative diagram (1.1). The advantage of the new approach to Deligne's conjecture is the transparent universal property of $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}(M(X))$ similar to the universal property of classical Albanese variety. However, one needs the category of Voevodsky motives to state this universal property.

1.5. **Remarks on the proof.** The proof of (1.2) uses in an essential way the DG structure on the category of Voevodsky motives constructed in [BV]. The functors

$$\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}}\circ T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge}, R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge}\circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} : D^b(\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q}) \to D^b(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}) \tag{1.4}$$

take the abelian category $\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \subset D^b(\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q})$ to $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \subset D^b(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}})$. Using the Eilenberg-MacLane cube construction ([LP]) we define in §3.7-3.8 an isomorphism

$$(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}}\circ T_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge})_{|\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q}} \simeq (R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Hodge}\circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}})_{|\mathcal{M}_1(k)\otimes\mathbb{Q}}. \tag{1.5}$$

Than, the idea is to use a categorical result from [Vol] (recalled in Theorem 1), to deduce (1.2) from (1.5). To implement this idea we need to construct DG liftings of triangulated categories and functors appearing formula (1.4). The required work is

[2] As Barbieri-Viale and Kahn pointed out the existence of $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ was announced by Voevodsky in his letter to Beilinson in 1992.

done in §2, where we explain how the Hodge realization functor $R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ can be lifted to a DG quasi-functor to the derived DG category of Hodge structures.

Once the isomorphism (1.2) is constructed we get a morphism $\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}} \circ R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to T^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by adjunction. The computation of $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}(M(X))$ for smooth projective varieties from [BK1] together with the Lefschetz $(1,1)$ Theorem completes the proof of (1.3).

1.6. **Historical remarks.** The idea to use the functor $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ to give a more conceptual proof of Deligne's conjecture is due to Barbieri-Viale and Kahn. In partucular, the existence of *a canonical* isomorphism

$$\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}(H_i(X)) \simeq H_i(T^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}(M(X))). \tag{1.6}$$

for a scheme X over $\mathbb{C}$ was conjectured in ([BK1], §0.11).

A proof of Proposition 3.1 together with an isomorphism

$$R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \overline{Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}} \circ \overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}} \circ R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

were independently obtained in ([BK2], Theorems 17.2.1, 17.3.1).

1.7. **1-motives with integral coefficients.** The integral version of the isomorphism (1.3) is more involved: the functor $\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Z}} : D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Z}}_1) \to D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Z}}_{eff})$ does admit a left adjoint functor. To overcome this difficulty we consider the category of $MHS^{\mathbb{Z},en}$ of enriched polarizable mixed Hodge structures $V = (W_{\mathbb{Z}} \subset V_{\mathbb{Z}}, F^{\cdot} \subset V_{\mathbb{Z}})$ with *the weight filtration defined integrally*. An enriched Hodge structure is called effective if $F^1 = 0$ and $W_0 = V_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We introduce an exact structure on $MHS^{\mathbb{Z},en}$ postulating that a sequence

$$0 \to V^0 \to V^1 \to V^2 \to 0$$

is exact if, for every i, the sequence of pure integral Hodge structures

$$0 \to Gr^i_W V^0 \to Gr^i_W V^1 \to Gr^i_W V^2 \to 0$$

splits. Similarly, we define an exact structure on $\mathcal{M}_1(k)$ postulating that a sequence

$$0 \to [\Lambda^0, G^0, u_0] \to [\Lambda^1, G^1, u_1] \to [\Lambda^2, G^2, u_2] \to 0$$

is exact if, for $i = 0, -1, -2$, the sequence of pure 1-motives

$$0 \to Gr^i_W[\Lambda^0, G^0, u_0] \to Gr^i_W[\Lambda^1, G^1, u_1] \to Gr^i_W[\Lambda^2, G^2, u_2] \to 0$$

splits. The derived category of $\mathcal{M}_1(k)$ equipped with the above exact structure is denoted by $D^b(\mathcal{M}^{Zar}_1(k))$. [3]

Let $k \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an algebraically closed subfield. In an subsequent paper, we will construct a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} DM^{eff}_{gm}(k;\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{LAlb_{\mathbb{Z}}} & & & D^b(\mathcal{M}^{Zar}_1(k)) \\ \downarrow \hat{R}^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Z}} & & & & \downarrow \\ D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Z},en}_{eff}) & \xrightarrow{\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Z}}}} & D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Z},en}_1) \simeq & & D^b(\mathcal{M}^{Zar}_1(\mathbb{C})). \end{array} \tag{1.7}$$

[3] There is another much weaker exact structure on $\mathcal{M}_1(k)$. See ([BK1], §1.4) or §2.11.

1.8. **Acknowledgments.** It should become clear from the above how much this paper owes to Luca Barbieri-Viale and Bruno Kahn. In addition, the author is grateful to them for reading the first draft of the paper and numerous remarks. Special thanks go to the referee for his (or her) generous help in turning a raw draft into an article. This research was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0701106, DMS-0901707.

2. Realizations of Voevodsky's motives.

In this section we construct a DG structure on the category of étale Voevodsky motives and then lift Hodge and étale realization functors ([Hu1], [Hu2], [DG]) to DG quasi-functors. Our choice to work with étale motives rather than with Nisnevich ones is motivated only by possible future applications: with rational coefficients the two categories are equivalent.

2.1. **DG categories.** Let us begin by recalling some constructions from ([Dri], [BV] §1). Fix some universes $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{V}$. $\mathcal{U}$-small DG categories over commutative ring A can be organized into a 2-category $DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$: for DG categories $\mathcal{C}_1$, $\mathcal{C}_2$, $Mor_{DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ is the category $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ of DG quasi-functors ([Dri], §16). Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$ we will write $Ho(\mathcal{F}) : Ho(\mathcal{C}_1) \to Ho(\mathcal{C}_2)$ for the corresponding functor between the *homotopy categories*. Informally, the category of DG quasi-functors is obtained from the category of DG functors by inverting homotopy equivalences: a DG functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{C}_1 \to \mathcal{C}_2$ is called a homotopy equivalence if $Ho(\mathcal{F})$ is an equivalence and, for every $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}_1$, the morphism $Hom_{\mathcal{C}_1}(X, Y) \to Hom_{\mathcal{C}_2}(\mathcal{F}(X), \mathcal{F}(Y))$ is a quasi-isomorphism. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a homotopy equivalence and $\mathcal{C}_3$ is another DG category then the composition with $\mathcal{F}$ induces an equivalence of categories:

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_3).$$

A DG $\mathcal{U}$-category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *cocomplete* if its homotopy category is cocomplete. The DG $\mathcal{U}$-ind-completion of $\mathcal{C} \in DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$ will be denoted by $\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}$. This is a pretriangulated cocomplete $\mathcal{V}$-small DG category satisfying the following universal property: for every pretriangulated cocomplete DG $\mathcal{U}$-category $\mathcal{C}' \in DGcat_{\mathcal{V}}$:

$$\mathcal{T}^c(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^c(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}')$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{C}')$ whose objects are quasi-functors $\mathcal{F}$ such that $Ho(\mathcal{F})$ commutes with arbitrary $\mathcal{U}$-small direct sums. The triangulated category $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})$ is the derived category of right DG modules over $\mathcal{C}$ and will be denoted by $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{C})$ [4].

For a class S of objects of a triangulated category D, the *triangulated subcategory* $< S >$ *strongly generated by* S is the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory of D that contains S. If D is cocomplete the *triangulated subcategory* $<< S >>$ *generated by* S is the smallest strictly full triangulated subcategory of D that contains S and is closed under arbitrary $\mathcal{U}$-small direct sums. We will write $D^{perf} \subset D$ for the full subcategory of compact, a.k.a. perfect, objects of D. For a cocomplete DG category $\mathcal{C}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{C}^{perf} \subset \mathcal{C}$ the full DG subcategory that consists of objects compact in $Ho(\mathcal{C})$.

A *DG structure* on a triangulated category D is a pretriangulated DG category $\mathcal{C}$ together with a triangulated equivalence between D and $Ho(\mathcal{C})$. A DG structure

[4] Drinfeld's notation for this category is $D(\mathcal{C})$. We use $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{C})$ to avoid confusion with Verdier's derived category of an abelian category $\mathcal{C}$ that is denoted by $D(\mathcal{C})$.

on D induces a DG structure on any strictly full triangulated subcategory $I \subset D$: take for $\mathcal{C}_I$ the strictly full DG subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$ whose objects belong to the essential image of the functor $I \hookrightarrow D \xrightarrow{\sim} Ho(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, for a class $S \subset Ob(D)$ we refer to $\mathcal{C}_{<S>} \subset \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{<<S>>} \subset \mathcal{C}$) as the DG subcategory *strongly generated (resp. generated) by* S.

For a DG category $\mathcal{C} \in DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$ its pretriangulated completion $\mathcal{C}^{pretr} \in DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a full subcategory of $\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}$ strongly generated by $Ho(\mathcal{C}) \subset Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}})$. The category $\mathcal{C}^{pretr}$ has the following universal property: for every pretriangulated DG category $\mathcal{C}' \in DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$ we have

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}^{pretr}, \mathcal{C}') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'). \tag{2.2}$$

For a full subcategory $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C} \in DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$ the DG quotient $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathcal{U}$-small DG category equipped with a DG quasi-functor $\mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$ satisfying the following universal property: for every DG category $\mathcal{C}' \in DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$ the functor

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}') \to \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}'). \tag{2.3}$$

is fully faithful embedding whose essential image consists of quasi-functors $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$ such that $Ho(\mathcal{F})(Ho(\mathcal{B})) = 0$.[5] A DG quotient $\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}$ always exists (an unique up to a unique isomorphism in $DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$). In particular, given an A-linear exact category $\mathcal{E}$ we can define its bounded derived DG category $D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E})$ to be the DG quotient of the DG category $C^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E})$ of bounded complexes by the subcategory of acyclic ones ([N], §1).

We will use the following result ([Vol], Theorem 1).

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a $\mathcal{U}$-small A-linear exact category and $\mathcal{E}'$ a $\mathcal{U}$-small abelian A-linear category. Assume that for every two objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{E}$, the A-module $Hom(X, Y)$ is flat.*

(1) Let

$$\mathcal{F} : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}) \to D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}')$$

be a DG quasi-functor satisfying the following property:

(P) The functors

$$H^i\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{E} \to D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}') \xrightarrow{H^i} \mathcal{E}'$$

are 0 for every $i < 0$ and effaceable (i.e., for every object $X \in \mathcal{E}$, there is an admissible monomorphism $X \hookrightarrow Y$ such that the induced morphism $H^i\mathcal{F}(X) \to H^i\mathcal{F}(Y)$ is 0) for every $i > 0$.

Then the functor $F := H^0\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ is left exact, has a right derived DG quasi-functor ([Dri] *§5)*

$$RF : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}) \to D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}'),$$

and there is a unique isomorphism $\mathcal{F} \simeq RF$ such that the induced automorphism $F = H^0(\mathcal{F}) \simeq H^0(RF) = F$ equals Id. Conversely, the right derived DG quasi-functor of any left exact functor $F : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}'$ satisfies property (P).

(2) For every two DG quasi-functors $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{T}(D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}), D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}'))$ satisfying property (P) and every $i < 0$

$$Hom_{\mathcal{T}(D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}), D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}'))}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}[i]) = 0,$$

[5] In particular, if $\mathcal{B}$ is nonempty and $Ho(\mathcal{C}')$ does not have a zero object $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}')$ is empty.

$$Hom_{\mathcal{T}(D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}),D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{E}'))}(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{G}) = Hom_{Fct(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}')}(H^0\mathcal{F}, H^0\mathcal{G}).$$

Here $Fct(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{E}')$ denotes the category of all A-linear functors $\mathcal{E}\to\mathcal{E}'$.

Having the 2-category *DGcat* we define the notion of adjoint DG quasi-functors: given $\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2)$ a right *adjunction datum* $(\mathcal{G},\nu,\mu)$ consists of a quasi-functor $\mathcal{G}\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_2,\mathcal{C}_1)$ together with morphisms $\nu: Id\to\mathcal{G}\circ\mathcal{F}$, $\mu:\mathcal{F}\circ\mathcal{G}\simeq Id$ such that the compositions

$$\mathcal{F}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(\nu)}\mathcal{F}\circ\mathcal{G}\circ\mathcal{F}\xrightarrow{\mu(\mathcal{F})}\mathcal{F}$$

$$\mathcal{G}\xrightarrow{\nu(\mathcal{G})}\mathcal{G}\circ\mathcal{F}\circ\mathcal{G}\xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(\mu)}\mathcal{G}$$

are identity morphisms. It is a general property of 2-categories that the adjunction datum if it exists is unique up to a unique isomorphism ([Ben]). If this is the case we call $\mathcal{G}$ the right adjoint quasi-functor. Similarly, one defines the notion of left adjoint quasi-functor.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2$ be pretriangulated DG categories and let $\mathcal{F}:\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2)$ be a DG qusi-functor. Assume that $Ho(\mathcal{F})$ admits a right (resp. left) adjoint functor. Then $\mathcal{F}$ admits a right (resp. left) adjoint quasi-functor.*

Proof. For every $\mathcal{F}\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_1,\mathcal{C}_2)$ the induced functor $\mathcal{F}^*:\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_1}\to\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_2}$ admits a right adjoint quasi-functor $\mathcal{F}_*\in\mathcal{T}(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_2},\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_1})$ ([Dri], §14). If $Ho(\mathcal{G})$ is a right adjoint to $Ho(\mathcal{F})$, for every $X\in\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_2}$, $Ho(\mathcal{F}_*)(X)\simeq Ho(\mathcal{G})(X)$ in $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_1})$. Thus $Ho(\mathcal{F}_*)$ takes $Ho(\mathcal{C}_2)$ into the essential image of $Ho(\mathcal{C}_1)\hookrightarrow Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{C}_1})$. Left adjoint functors are treated similarly. □

Conventions: Unless stated otherwise, all categories assumed to be $\mathcal{U}$-small. We will write *DGcat* for $DGcat_{\mathcal{U}}$. All fields, rings, modules that we will consider assumed to be $\mathcal{U}$-small.

2.2. **DG category of Étale Voevodsky motives.** Let A a commutative ring and let k be a of finite étale homological dimension with respect to A, *i.e.* there exists an integer N such that, for every discrete $A[Gal(\overline{k}/k)]$-module M and every integer $m>N$,

$$H^m(Gal(\overline{k}/k),M)=0. \tag{2.4}$$

Let Sm_k be the category of smooth varieties over k. We will write $A_{tr}[Sm] = A_{tr}[Sm_k]$ for the category whose objects are smooth varieties and morphisms are finite correspondences with coefficients in A ([BV], §2.1.2). This an A-linear additive category and as such it can be viewed as a DG category over A. Let $PSh_{tr} = PSh^A_{tr}(Sm_k)$ be the (abelian) category of presheaves of A-modules with transfers on Sm_k *i.e.*, the category of contravariant A-linear functors $A_{tr}[Sm]\to Mod(A)$, and let $D(PSh_{tr})$ be its derived category. We endow $D(PSh_{tr})$ with a DG structure

$$Ho(\underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]})\simeq\mathbb{D}(A_{tr}[Sm])\simeq D(PSh_{tr}).$$

Remark 2.2. The Yoneda embedding defines a homotopy equivalence $\underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}\xrightarrow{\sim} D_{dg}(PSh_{tr})$ ([BV], §1.6.4, 1.8).

If $X \in Sm_k$ we write $A_{tr}[X]$ for the presheaf represented by X. Consider the following types of complexes in PSh_{tr}:

(Δ) The 2-term complexes $A_{tr}[X \times \mathbb{A}^1] \to A_{tr}[X]$, where $X \in Sm_k$ and the differential comes from the projection $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$.

(Et) The complexes of the form $A_{tr}[U.] \to A_{tr}[X]$, where $U. \to X$, $U_i \in Sm_k$, is a *hypercovering* for the étale topology ([SGA4], V §7.3) and $A_{tr}[U.]$ is the corresponding normalized complex.

Let I^{Δ}_{tr}, I^{et}_{tr}, $I^{et,\Delta}_{tr}$ be the subcategories of $\underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}$ generated by the objects of the corresponding types. We define the *DG category* $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k;A)$ *of effective étale Voevodsky motives* to be the DG quotent of $\underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}$ by $I^{et,\Delta}_{tr}$. The triangulated category $Ho(D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k;A)) \simeq D(PSh_{tr})/Ho(I^{et,\Delta}_{tr})$ is denoted by $DM^{eff}_{et}(k;A)$. By abuse of notation we shall write $A_{tr}[X]$ for the object of the quotient category $DM^{eff}_{et}(k;A)$ corresponding to the presheaf $A_{tr}[X]$ (*i.e.* the motive of X). The *triangulated category* $DM^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A)$ *of effective geometric étale motives* is the smallest Karoubian complete strictly full triangulated subcategory of $DM^{eff}_{et}(k;A)$ that contains all objects of the form $A_{tr}[X]$, where $X \in Sm_k$. Being a full subcategory of category equipped with a DG structure $DM^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A)$ inherits a DG structure that we denote by $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A)$.

The tensor structure on the category $A_{tr}[Sm]$ given by cartesian product of schemes induces a homotopy tensor structure on $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A)$, $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k;A)$ ([BV], §1.9, 2.3.) In particular, $DM^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A)$, $DM^{eff}_{et}(k;A)$ are tensor categories.

Lemma 2.3. $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k;A)^{perf} = D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A)$.

Proof. The étale coverings define a Grothendieck topology on $A_{tr}[Sm]$ ([BV], §4.3). Let $Sh^{et}_{tr} \subset PSh^{et}_{tr}$ be the category of sheaves with transfers. According to ([BV], §1.11; 4.3) the sheafification functor $PSh^{et}_{tr} \to Sh^{et}_{tr}$ induces a homotopy equivalence

$$D_{dg}(PSh_{tr})/I^{et}_{tr} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{dg}(Sh^{et}_{tr}).$$

Hence,

$$D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k;A) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{dg}(Sh^{et}_{tr})/I^{\Delta}_{tr}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let us check that, for every $X \in Sm_k$, the sheaf $A_{tr}[X]$ is a compact object of $D(Sh^{et}_{tr})$ *i.e.*, for every $F_i \in D(Sh^{et}_{tr})$, $i \in I$, and every integer m, the canonical morphism

$$\bigoplus_I Hom_{D(Sh^{et}_{tr})}(A_{tr}[X], F_i[m]) \simeq \bigoplus R^m\Gamma(X, F_i) \to R^m\Gamma(X, \bigoplus F_i)$$

is an isomorphism. If each F_i is a single sheaf the claim follows from ([SGA4], VII, 3.3) (and holds for *any* field k). On the other hand, under (2.4), for every sheaf F of A-modules and every integer $l > N + 2dim\, X + 1 =: N'$, $H^l_{et}(X, F) = 0$ ([SGA4], X, 4.3) . Thus, replacing each F_i by its canonical truncation we may assume that F_i is supported in homological degrees between $m - N'$ and m. Our claim follows by dévissage.

In particular, the subcategory $Ho(I^{\Delta}_{tr}) \subset D(Sh^{et}_{tr})$ is generated by compact objects. Hence, by ([BV], §1.4.2, Proposition (ii)) the sheaves $A_{tr}[X]$ remain compact in the quotient category $D(Sh^{et}_{tr})/Ho(I^{\Delta}_{tr})$. Part (i) of the same proposition completes the proof. □

Corollary 2.4. *The category $DM_{et}^{eff}(k;A)$ is compactly generated.*

Indeed, the category $DM_{et}^{eff}(k;A)$ is generated by motives of smooth varieties which are compact by the lemma.

Corollary 2.5. *If $(D\mathcal{M}_{gm,et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})\otimes Q)^{\kappa}$ denotes the homotopy idempotent completion ([BV], §1.6.2) of $D\mathcal{M}_{gm,et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})\otimes Q$, the canonical morphism*

$$(D\mathcal{M}_{gm,et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})\otimes Q)^{\kappa}\to D\mathcal{M}_{gm,et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Q})$$

is a homotopy equivalence.

Proof. It suffices to check that for every $X,Y\in Sm$ the map

$$Hom_{DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[X],\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[Y])\otimes\mathbb{Q}\to Hom_{DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[X],\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[Y])$$
$$\xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Q})}(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X],\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[Y])$$

is an isomorphism. Write $\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[Y]$ as the direct limit of the diagram $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[Y]\xrightarrow{2}\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[Y]\xrightarrow{3}\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[Y]\xrightarrow{4}\cdots$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[X]$ is perfect, $Hom_{DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[X],\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[Y])$ is the direct limit of

$$Hom_{DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[X],\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[Y])\xrightarrow{2}Hom_{DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[X],\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[Y])\xrightarrow{3}\cdots.$$

□

Remark 2.6. Voevodsky's category $DM_{-,et}^{eff}(k;A)$ is the image $D^{-}(Sh_{tr}^{et})$ in $DM_{et}^{eff}(k;A)$.

Replacing in the definition of $D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k;A)$ étale hypercoverings by Nisnevich ones we obtain *the DG category $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}(k;A)$ of effective Voevodsky motives* ([BV]). The DG quasi-functor

$$D\mathcal{M}^{eff}(k;A)\to D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k;A)$$

is a homotopy equivalence for every $A\supset\mathbb{Q}$. This is derived from the equivalence $Sh_{tr}^{et\,A}(Sm_k)\xrightarrow{\sim}Sh_{tr}^{Nis\,A}(Sm_k)$ ([V1], §3.3).

2.3. **Base change.** Let $k\subset k'$ be a field extension. The functor

$$A_{tr}[Sm_k]\to A_{tr}[Sm_{k'}] \tag{2.6}$$

that takes a smooth k-scheme X to k'-scheme $X\times_{spec\,k}spec\,k'$ induces DG quasi-functors

$$f^{*}:D\mathcal{M}^{eff}(k;A)\to D\mathcal{M}^{eff}(k';A),\quad f^{*}:D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k;A)\to D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k';A). \tag{2.7}$$

We want to describe explicitly the effect f^{*} on a complex of presheaves with transfers. Consider the left Kan extension of (2.6)

$$f^{-1}:PSh_{tr}(Sm_k)\to PSh_{tr}(Sm_{k'}).$$

If $E\in PSh_{tr}(Sm_k)$ is a presheaf on the category $A_{tr}[Sm_k]$,

$$f^{-1}E(X)=\underset{(Y,g\in cor_k(X,Y))}{colim}F(Y),$$

where X is a smooth scheme over k' and the colimit is taken over the category $\mathcal{C}$ all pairs $(Y,g\in cor_k(X,Y)=cor_{k'}(X,Y\times_{spec\,k}spec\,k'))$ with $Y\in A_{tr}[Sm_{k'}]$; $Hom_{\mathcal{C}}((Y,g),(Y',g'))=\{h\in cor_k(Y,Y'):h\circ g=g'\}$. One can easily check that the category $\mathcal{C}$ is cofiltrant ([KS], §3.1). As a consequence, the functor f^{-1} is exact.

Remark 2.7. The subcategory $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ of pairs (Y, g) where $Y \in Sm_k$, g is a morphism $X \to Y$ of k-schemes, and $Hom_{\mathcal{C}'}((Y,g),(Y',g')) = \{h \in Mor_k(Y,Y') : h \circ g = g'\}$ is co-cofinal in $\mathcal{C}$ ([KS], §2.5). In particular,

$$f^{-1}E(X) = \underset{g:X\to Y}{colim} F(Y).$$

As an exact functor f^{-1} extends in the obvious way to a DG quasi-functor between the DG derived categories of presheaves and this extension fits into the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{eff}(k;A) & \longleftarrow & \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm_k]} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & D_{dg}(PSh_{tr}(Sm_k)) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^*} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^{-1}} \\ D\mathcal{M}_{\circ}^{eff}(k';A) & \longleftarrow & \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm_{k'}]} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & D_{dg}(PSh_{tr}(Sm_{k'})), \end{array}$$

where $\circ = et$ or Nis, the left vertical arrow is induced by (2.6), and the horizontal homotopy equivalences are induced by the Yoneda embeddings.

2.4. **h-topology.** We shall recall below a different description of the category $D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k;A)$ that does not involve finite correspondences. This description will be used in our construction of the realization functors. In the rest of this section $char\, k = 0$.

Let $A[Sm_k] = A[Sm]$ be the category, whose objects are smooth varieties over k and whose morphisms are defined by the formula

$$Hom_{A[Sm]}(A[\sqcup_i X_i], A[Y]) = \oplus_i A[Mor(X_i, Y)],$$

where X_i are connected varieties. Denote by PSh the category of contravariant A-linear functors $A[Sm] \to Mod(A)$. Consider the pair of adjoint DG quasi-functors

$$\Phi^* : \underrightarrow{A[Sm]} \to \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}$$

$$\Phi_* : \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]} \to \underrightarrow{A[Sm]}$$

induced by $\Phi : A[Sm] \to A_{tr}[Sm]$. The triangulated functor

$$Ho(\Phi_*) : Ho(\underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}) \simeq D(PSh_{tr}) \to D(PSh) \simeq Ho(\underrightarrow{A[Sm]})$$

is induced by the forgetful functor $PSh_{tr} \to PSh$; $Ho(\Phi^*)$ is left adjoint to $Ho(\Phi_*)$.

Let $I^h \subset \underrightarrow{A[Sm]}$ (resp. $I^h_{tr} \subset \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}$) be the DG subcategory generated by objects of the form

(h) $A[U_\cdot] \to A[X]$ (resp. $A_{tr}[U_\cdot] \to A_{tr}[X]$),

where $U_\cdot \to X$, $U_i \in Sm_k$, is a hypercovering for the h-topology ([SV], §10), and let $I^{h,\Delta} \subset \underrightarrow{A[Sm]}$ (resp. $I^h_{tr} \subset \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}$) be the subcategory generated by I^h (resp. I^h_{tr}) and objects of the form $A[X \times \mathbb{A}^1] \to A[X]$ (resp. of type (Δ).) The functor Φ^* takes I^h into I^h_{tr} and, hence, descends to a DG quasi-functor:

$$\overline{\Phi}^* : \underrightarrow{A[Sm]}/I^h \to \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}/I^h_{tr}.$$

The following proposition is essentially a reformulation of a result proven by Voevodsky ([V1], Theorem 4.1.12).

Proposition 2.8. *a) The quasi-functor $\overline{\Phi}^*$ is a homotopy equivalence.*
b) The composition $Ho(I^h_{tr}) \to D(PSh_{tr}) \to DM^{eff}_{et}(k;A)$ is zero.
c) The functor $\overline{\Phi}^*$ yields a homotopy equivalences:

$$\underrightarrow{A[Sm]}/I^{h,\Delta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underrightarrow{A_{tr}[Sm]}/I^{h,\Delta}_{tr} \xrightarrow{\sim} D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k;A).$$

Proof. We will derive the proposition from Voevodsky's results. Let Sh be the category of sheaves of A-modules on smooth schemes equipped with the h-topology, and let $A^h[X]$ be the h-sheaf associated with $A[X]$. Then

$$A^h[X] \xrightarrow{\sim} A_{tr}[X]. \tag{2.8}$$

This follows by combining ([V2], Theorem 3.3.5, Proposition 3.3.6) and ([BV], 2.1.3) with the observation that the category of h-sheaves on smooth schemes is equivalent to the one on all k-schemes of finite type. The last assertion holds because every scheme of finite type over a field of characteristic 0 admits a smooth h-cover.

It follows from (2.8) that the forgetful functor $Ho(\Phi_*) : D(PSh_{tr}) \to D(PSh)$ takes every complex in $Ho(I^h_{tr})$ to an object of $Ho(I^h)$ ([BV], 1.11). Consider the induced functor:

$$Ho(\overline{\Phi}_*) : D(PSh_{tr})/Ho(I^h_{tr}) \to D(PSh)/Ho(I^h).$$

We claim that $Ho(\overline{\Phi}_*)$ and $Ho(\overline{\Phi}^*)$ are inverse one to the other. Indeed, (2.8) implies that $Ho(\overline{\Phi}_*) \circ Ho(\overline{\Phi}^*) \simeq Id$. Next, since $Ho(\Phi^*)$ is left adjoint to $Ho(\Phi_*)$, $Ho(\overline{\Phi}^*)$ is left adjoint to $Ho(\overline{\Phi}_*)$. This implies that $Ho(\overline{\Phi}^*)$ is fully faithful. Since $Ho(\overline{\Phi}^*)$ is obviously surjective, the first part of the proposition is proved. Part b) follows from ([V1], Theorem 4.1.12). The last part is a corollary of a) and b). □

2.5. **Compactifications.** Let $A[\overline{Sm}]$ be the category whose objects are triples $(X, \overline{X}, j)$, where $\overline{X}$ is smooth proper scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ and $j : X \to \overline{X}$ is an open dense embedding such that the complement $\overline{X}\backslash X$ is a divisor with normal crossings. The space of morphisms between two such triples $(X, \overline{X}, j)$ and $(X', \overline{X}', j')$ with connected $\overline{X}$ is freely generated over A by pairs $(f : X_i \to X',\ g : \overline{X}_i \to \overline{X}')$ such that $j'f = gj$. In general,

$$Hom_{A[\overline{Sm}]}(A[(\sqcup X_i, \sqcup \overline{X}_i, j)], \mathcal{X}') = \oplus_i Hom_{A[\overline{Sm}]}(A[(X_i, \overline{X}_i, j)], \mathcal{X}'),$$

where $\overline{X}_i$ are connected and $\mathcal{X}' = A[(X', \overline{X}', j')]$. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the DG subcategory of $\underrightarrow{A[\overline{Sm}]}$ generated by complexes of the form

(N) $A[X, \overline{X}', j_{X'}] \xrightarrow{(Id,g)} A[X, \overline{X}, j_X]$.

The functor $A[\overline{Sm}] \to A[Sm]$ that takes a triple $(X, \overline{X}, j)$ to X induces a DG quasi-functor

$$\underrightarrow{A[\overline{Sm}]}/\mathcal{N} \to \underrightarrow{A[Sm]}. \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.9. *The functor (2.9) is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Denote by $\mathcal{S} \subset Mor(A[\overline{Sm}])$ the set of morphisms of the form

$$(X, \overline{X}', j_{X'}) \xrightarrow{(Id,g)} (X, \overline{X}, j_X). \tag{2.10}$$

It follows from the Hironaka theorem on resolution of singularieties that the set $\mathcal{S}$ is a left multiplicative system and that, if $A[\overline{Sm}]_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the localization of $A[\overline{Sm}]$ by $\mathcal{S}$ ([KS], §7), the functor $A[\overline{Sm}]_{\mathcal{S}} \to A[Sm]$ induced by $A[\overline{Sm}] \to A[Sm]$ is an equivalence of

categories. The rest of the proof is a bit of abstract nonsense. It will suffice to prove that for any additive category $\mathcal{A}$ and a left multiplicative system $\mathcal{S} \subset Mor(\mathcal{A})$ the functor

$$T : Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{N}) \to Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{S}})$$

is an equivalence of triangulated categories. Here $\mathcal{N}$ denotes the DG subcategory of $\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by complexes of the form $X' \xrightarrow{s} X$, where $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s \in \mathcal{S}$. For every $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$Hom_{Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{N})}(X, Y[i]) \simeq Hom_{Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}^{perf}/\mathcal{N}^{perf})}(X, Y[i]) \simeq colim\, Hom_{Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}})}(C, Y[i]),$$

where the colimit is taken over the cofiltrant category Q of pairs $(C \in Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}^{perf}), g : C \to X)$ with $cone(g) \in Ho(\mathcal{N}^{perf})$. The subcategory $Q' \subset Q$ formed $(X' \in \mathcal{A}, s : X' \to X)$ with $s \in \mathcal{S}$ is cofinal in Q. Hence

(2.11)
$$Hom_{Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{N})}(X, Y[i]) \simeq colim\, Hom_{Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}})}(X', Y[i]) \to Hom_{Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{S}})}(X, Y[i]).$$

(In particular, all groups are 0 for $i \neq 0$.) Since objects of $\mathcal{A}$ generate $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}})$, $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{N})$ and are compact in the both categories ([BV], Proposition 1.4.2) formula (2.11) implies that T is fully faithful.

It remains to check that T is essentially surjective. Indeed, T provides an equivalence of $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{N})$ with a full triangulated subcategory of $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{S}})$ which is closed under under small direct sums and contains $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{S}}$. Every subcategory with these properties coincides with $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathcal{S}})$. □

2.6. **DG realizations of $D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k; A)$.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a pretriangulated cocomplete DG category over A, and let $\mathcal{F} : A[Sm] \to \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F} : A[\overline{Sm}] \to \mathcal{C}$) be a DG quasi-functor. According to formula (2.1) $\mathcal{F}$ extends uniquely (up to a unique isomorphism) to a DG quasi-functor $\underrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} : \underrightarrow{A[Sm]} \to \mathcal{C}$ (resp. $\underrightarrow{\mathcal{F}} : \underrightarrow{A[\overline{Sm}]} \to \mathcal{C}$) such that $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{F}})$ commutes with arbitrary direct sums. Let $\mathcal{T}^{h,\Delta}(A[Sm], \mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}^{h,\Delta,\mathcal{N}}(A[\overline{Sm}], \mathcal{C})$) be a full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}(A[Sm], \mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\mathcal{T}(A[\overline{Sm}], \mathcal{C})$) whose objects are quasi-functors $\mathcal{F}$ such that $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathcal{F}})$ takes complexes of type (h), (Δ) (resp. (h), (Δ), (N)) to 0 in $Ho(\mathcal{C})$. The following result is the basic tool for constructing various realization functors.

Theorem 2. *The functor $\overline{\Phi}$ induces an equivalence of triangulated categories*

$$\mathcal{T}^c(D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k; A), \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{h,\Delta}(A[Sm], \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{T}^{h,\Delta,\mathcal{N}}(A[\overline{Sm}], \mathcal{C})$$

where $\mathcal{T}^c(D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k; A), \mathcal{C})$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}(D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k; A), \mathcal{C})$ formed by quasi-functors $\mathcal{F}$ such that $Ho(\mathcal{F})$ commutes with arbitrary direct sums.

Proof. This is an immediate corollary of part c) of Proposition 2.8. □

2.7. **Betti realization.** $k = \mathbb{C}$. Let $D_{dg}(Mod(A))$ be the derived DG category of A-modules *i.e.*, the DG quotient of the category $C(Mod(A))$ of unbounded complexes of A-modules by the subcategory of acyclic ones. We apply Theorem 2 to the quasi-functor $C_A^{sing} : A[Sm] \to C(Mod(A)) \to D_{dg}(Mod(A))$ that takes a smooth variety X to its singular chain complex $C^{sing}(X(\mathbb{C})) \otimes A$. Since singular homology are homotopy invariant and satisfy the descent property for the h-topology C_A^{sing} yields a DG quasi-functor

$$R_A^{Betti} : D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(\mathbb{C}; A) \to D_{dg}(Mod(A)).$$

2.8. **Hodge realization.** Let $\mathbb{Z} \subset A \subset \mathbb{Q}$ be a subring, and let MHS^A be the category of *polarizable* mixed A-Hodge structures ([D3]). We shall say that a Hodge structure $(V_A, W. \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^{\cdot} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}) \in MHS^A$ is *effective* if

$$F^1 = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Note that the condition (2.12) implies that

$$W_0 = V_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

Denote by $MHS^A_{eff} \subset MHS^A$ the full subcategory of effective Hodge structures. We shall construct a DG quasi-functor,

$$R^{Hodge}_A : D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(\mathbb{C}; A) \to D^b_{dg}(MHS^A_{eff}), \tag{2.13}$$

together with an isomorphism of quasi-functors

$$F \circ R^{Hodge}_A \simeq R^{Betti}_A : D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(\mathbb{C}; A) \to D_{dg}(Mod(A)).$$

Here $F : D^b_{dg}(MHS^A_{eff}) \to D_{dg}(Mod(A))$ is the forgetful functor.

Beilinson associated with every variety X over $\mathbb{C}$ an element of the derived category $D^b(MHS^A)$ whose cohomology are Deligne's mixed Hodge structures on (co)homology groups of X. In fact, his construction gives a DG quasi-functor $A[\overline{Sm}] \to D^b_{dg}(MHS^A)$. Let us explain this. In ([Bei], §3.9-4.1) Beilinson introduced auxiliary triangulated categories $K^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}$, $D^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}$ of $\tilde{p}$-Hodge complexes. We define DG structures on these categories: consider a DG category $C^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}$ whose objects are $\tilde{p}$-Hodge complexes and the group $Hom((\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\cdot}, d_{\mathcal{F}}), (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{\cdot}, d_{\mathcal{G}})[n])$ of degree n morphisms between $\tilde{p}$-Hodge complexes

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\cdot}, d_{\mathcal{F}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{F}}} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\mathbb{Q}} \xleftarrow{\beta_{\mathcal{F}}} (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{F}}} (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{W}'_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xleftarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{F}}} (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{F}^{\cdot}),$$

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{\cdot}, d_{\mathcal{G}}) = \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_A \xrightarrow{\alpha_{\mathcal{G}}} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}'_{\mathbb{Q}} \xleftarrow{\beta_{\mathcal{G}}} (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\mathcal{G}}} (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}'_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{W}'_{\mathbb{Q}}) \xleftarrow{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}} (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \tilde{F}^{\cdot})$$

is a subgroup of $\prod Hom(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\cdot}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{\cdot+n})$ formed by all $h \in \prod Hom(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\cdot}, \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{\cdot+n})$ such that
a) h commutes with α, β, γ and δ (but not necessarily with the differential)
b) h preserves the Hodge filtration
c) h shifts the weight filtration: $h(\tilde{W}.) \subset \tilde{W}._{-n}$
d) $d_{\mathcal{G}} \circ h - (-1)^n h \circ d_{\mathcal{F}}$ takes $\tilde{W}.$ to $\tilde{W}._{-n-1}$.
The formula $d(h) := d_{\mathcal{G}} \circ h - (-1)^n h \circ d_{\mathcal{F}}$ defines a differential on $Hom((\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\cdot}, d_{\mathcal{F}}), (\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{\cdot}, d_{\mathcal{G}})[\cdot])$. By definition, Beilinson's category $K^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}$ is the homotopy category of $C^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}$. We have a DG functor

$$C^b(MHS^A) \to C^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p} \tag{2.14}$$

that takes a complex $V^{\cdot} = (V_A, W. \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^{\cdot} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}})$ of Hodge structures to the $\tilde{p}$-Hodge complex $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\cdot}$ with $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A = V_A$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\mathbb{Q}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{Q}} = V_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}'_{\mathbb{C}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbb{C}} = V_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\tilde{F}^{\cdot} = F^{\cdot}$, and $\tilde{W}_i(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^j_{\mathbb{Q}}) = W_{i+j}(V^j_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Denote by $D^b_{dg\,\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}$ the DG quotient of $C^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}$ by the subcategory acyclic complexes. [6] Then the functor (2.14) descends to a homotopy equivalence ([Bei], Lemmas 2.1 and 3.11):

$$D^b_{dg}(MHS^A) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^b_{dg\,\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}.$$

[6] Warning: a $\tilde{p}$-Hodge complex $(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{\cdot}, d_{\mathcal{F}})$ is called acyclic if $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_A$ is acyclic. The subcomplexes $\tilde{W}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ need not be acyclic.

Next, with every $(X, \overline{X}, j) \in A[\overline{Sm}]$ Beilinson associated a canonical $\tilde{p}$-Hodge complex $\mathcal{D}[X, \overline{X}]$. Set $\tilde{R}_A^{Hodge}((X, \overline{X}, j)) = \underline{\mathcal{H}om}(\mathcal{D}[X, \overline{X}], A)$. We obtain DG quasi-functors:

$$\tilde{R}_A^{Hodge} : A[\overline{Sm}] \to C^b_{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p},$$

$$\underrightarrow{\tilde{R}}_A^{Hodge} : \underrightarrow{A[\overline{Sm}]} \to \underrightarrow{D^b_{dg\,\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \underrightarrow{D^b_{dg}(MHS^A)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} D_{dg}(Ind(MHS^A)),$$

where $Ind(MHS^A)$ denotes the ind-completion of MHS^A and $\mathcal{P}$ is induced (via (2.1)) by the embedding $MHS^A \to Ind(MHS^A)$. By construction, the composition of $\underrightarrow{\tilde{R}}_A^{Hodge}$ with the forgetful functor $\underrightarrow{F} : D_{dg}(Ind(MHS^A)) \to D_{dg}(Mod(A))$ is isomorphic to $\underrightarrow{A[\overline{Sm}]} \to D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(\mathbb{C}; A) \xrightarrow{R_A^{Betti}} D_{dg}(Mod(A))$. Now $Ho(\underrightarrow{\tilde{R}}_A^{Hodge})$ carries complexes of type (h), (Δ), (N) to 0, because $Ho(\underrightarrow{F})$ is conservative. Theorem 2 gives

$$\underrightarrow{R}_A^{Hodge} : D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(\mathbb{C}; A) \to D_{dg}(Ind(MHS^A)).$$

The functor $Ho(\underrightarrow{R}_A^{Hodge})$ takes every geometric motive to the essential image of the fully faithful embedding $D^b(MHS^A) \hookrightarrow D(Ind(MHS^A))$. This yields

$$R_A^{Hodge} : D\mathcal{M}_{gm,et}^{eff}(\mathbb{C}; A) \to D^b_{dg}(MHS^A).$$

We will show in Proposition 3.1 that the functor $D^b(MHS^A_{eff}) \to D^b(MHS^A)$ induced by the embedding $MHS^A_{eff} \hookrightarrow MHS^A$ is fully faithful. Since the mixed Hodge structures on the homology groups of any variety are effective, it follows that the DG quasi-functor R_A^{Hodge} factors uniquely through $D^b_{dg}(MHS^A_{eff})$. This gives (2.13).

If $k \subset \mathbb{C}$, we will write R_A^{Hodge} for the composition

$$D\mathcal{M}_{gm,et}^{eff}(k; A) \xrightarrow{f^*} D\mathcal{M}_{gm,et}^{eff}(\mathbb{C}; A) \to D^b_{dg}(MHS^A_{eff}), \tag{2.15}$$

where f^* is the base change functor from §2.3.

2.9. **Étale realization.** Fix an algebraic closure $k \subset \overline{k}$. Denote by $Mod(G, A)$ the category of discrete A-modules over the Galois group $G := Gal(\overline{k}/k)$ [7] and by $D_{dg}(G, A)$ its derived DG category. Let $C^\Delta : D_{dg}(PSh^A_{tr}(Sm_k)) \to D_{dg}(PSh^A_{tr}(Sm_k))$ be the $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy localization endofunctor ([BV], §3.1.1). Consider a DG quasi-functor

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_A : D_{dg}(PSh^A_{tr}(Sm_k)) \to D_{dg}(G, A)$$

that takes a complex of presheaves F to

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_A(F) = C^\Delta(F)(spec\, k) := \underset{k \subset k' \subset \overline{k}}{colim} C^\Delta(F)(spec\, k'),$$

where k' runs through all finite extensions of k in $\overline{k}$. According to the Suslin-Voevodsky theorem ([SV]) for X one has a canonical isomorphism of G-modules

$$H_i(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(\mathbb{Z}/n_{tr}[X])) \simeq H_i^{et}(X \times spec\, \overline{k}, \mathbb{Z}/n). \tag{2.16}$$

Here is a more precise statement.

[7] Recall that a G-module M is called discrete if the stabilizer of every element $m \in M$ is open in G.

Proposition 2.10. *We have*

$$Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_A)(Ho(I_{tr}^{et,\Delta})) = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Thus, $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_A$ induces a DG quasi-functor

$$\mathcal{S}_A : D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k;A) \to D_{dg}(G,A).$$

The functor $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}/n}$ is a homotopy equivalence:

$$Ho(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}/n}) : DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z}/n) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{dg}(G,\mathbb{Z}/n). \tag{2.18}$$

Proof. Assume, first, $A = \mathbb{Z}/n$. In this case, formula (2.17) is proved in ([MVW], Theorem 9.35). It is also shown there that $Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}/n})$ induces an equivalence of the bounded from above categories:

$$DM_{-,et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z}/n) \xrightarrow{\sim} D^-(G,\mathbb{Z}/n).$$

This together with (2.16) implies

$$Ho(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}/n}) : DM_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z}/n)^{perf} \xrightarrow{\sim} D(G,\mathbb{Z}/n)^{perf}.$$

Since $Ho(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}/n})$ commutes with arbitrary direct sums the equivalence (2.18) follows from Corollary 2.4.

Let us prove the first claim of the proposition. It suffices to check that $Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) = 0$, for every complex $M \in Ho(I_{tr}^{et,\Delta})$ of type (Δ) and of type (Et) (with $A = \mathbb{Z}$). In either case M is a complex of torsion-free presheaves. Consider the distinguished triangle

$$Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}[-1] \to Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \to Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

The complex $Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is acyclic by ([MVW] , Theorem 9.35). The complex $Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a colimit of acyclic complexes $Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \otimes \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ over a filtrant category. This implies that $Ho(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}})(M)$ is acyclic. □

Let l be a prime number. Denote by $Mod(G,\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot})$ the abelian category whose objects are inverse systems $\cdots \to N_i \xrightarrow{\phi_{i-1}} N_{i-1} \to \cdots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} N_1$, where $N_i \in Mod(G,\mathbb{Z}/l^i)$ and ϕ_i are morphisms of G-modules. A morphism $N_{\cdot} \to N'_{\cdot}$ is a compatible system of homomorphisms $N_i \to N'_i$. Denote and $D_{dg}(G,\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot})$ the derived DG category of $Mod(G,\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot})$. Let $Mod(G,\mathbb{Z}) \to Mod(G,\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot})$ be the functor that takes $N \in Mod(G,\mathbb{Z}_l)$ to the inverse system $N_{\cdot} := N \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}$, and let

$$\overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot} : D_{dg}(G,\mathbb{Z}) \to D_{dg}(G,\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot})$$

be its left derived DG quasi-functor ([Dri], §5). Consider the composition of quasi-functors

$$R_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}}^{et} : D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(k;\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}} D_{dg}(G,\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\overset{L}{\otimes}\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}} D(G,\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}). \tag{2.19}$$

Proposition 2.11. *There is a morphism of DG quasi-functors*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} : D\mathcal{M}_{et}^{eff}(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{Z}) \to D_{dg}(Mod(\mathbb{Z})) \tag{2.20}$$

such that for every integer n the induced morphism

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}} \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/n \to R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/n$$

is an isomorphism. In particular,

$$R^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}} \simeq R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}} \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}. \tag{2.21}$$

Proof. For the first statement, according to Theorem 2 it suffices to construct a morphism between DG quasi-functors

$$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z}[Sm] \to D_{dg}(Mod(\mathbb{Z})).$$

Consider a third functor $C^{DT} : \mathbb{Z}[Sm] \to D_{dg}(Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ that takes a variety X to its Dold-Thom complex $Hom_{top}(\Delta^{\cdot}_{top}, \amalg_d S^d X(\mathbb{C}))^+$ ([SV], §1). We have canonical morphisms

$$C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}} \to C^{DT} \leftarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathbb{Z}} \tag{2.22}$$

with the first arrow being a quasi-isomorphism ([DT]; [SV], Theorem 8.2). This yields (2.20).

The second arrow in (2.22) induces a quasi-isomorphism $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}} \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/n \to C^{DT} \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/n$ by a key result of Suslin and Voevodsky ([SV], Theorem 8.3). □

Let $\overline{Mod}(G, \mathbb{Z}_l)$ be the category of all $\mathbb{Z}_l$-modules over G, and let

$$\lim : Mod(G, \mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}) \to \overline{Mod}(G, \mathbb{Z}_l)$$

be the inverse limit functor.

Corollary 2.12. *For every geometric motive $M \in DM^{eff}_{gm,et}(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{Z})$ and integer i one has a natural isomorphism*

$$\lim H_i(R^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}}(M)) \simeq H_i(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l.$$

Indeed, for every geometric motive M, the complex $R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is perfect, *i.e.* quasi-isomorphic to a finite complex of finitely generated free abelian groups. Hence, by ([AM], pp 107-109)

$$\lim H_i(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}) \simeq H_i(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_l.$$

2.10. **Relation to Huber's approach.** Huber's Hodge realization functor ([Hu1], Corollary 2.3.5) is the dual to the functor $Ho(R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ defined above. This can be seen as follows. By Proposition 2.8 the functor

$$Ho(\overline{\Phi}^*) : Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}[Sm]}) \to DM^{eff}(k; \mathbb{Q}) \tag{2.23}$$

induced by $\mathbb{Q}[Sm] \to \mathbb{Q}_{tr}[Sm]$ exhibits $DM^{eff}(k; \mathbb{Q})$ as a quotient of $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}[Sm]})$. Thus, giving an isomorphism between two triangulated realization functors on $DM^{eff}(k; \mathbb{Q})$ is equivalent to giving an isomorphism between their compositions with (2.23). The comparison result follows by inspection.

Remark 2.13. To construct the Hodge realization functor, Huber defined in ([Hu2]) a functor $Ho(\overline{\Phi}_*) : DM^{eff}(k; \mathbb{Q}) \to DSm_k$, where DSm_k is a certain quotient of the category $Ho(\underrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}[Sm]})$ such that $Ho(\overline{\Phi}_*) \circ Ho(\overline{\Phi}^*)$ is the projection. In Remark on p. 197 of *loc. cit.* she conjectured that $Ho(\overline{\Phi}_*)$ is an equivalence of categories. In fact, her conjecture follows from ([SV], Lemma 5.16). Note that Huber does not use explicitly Voevodsky's results on h-topology. The integral theory (*i.e.*, $Ho(R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Z}})$) is missing in ([Hu1], [Hu2]).

2.11. **1-motives.** All the results and constructions in this subsection are borrowed from [BK1], [D3], [O]. Let k be a field of characteristic 0. A 1-motive over k is a complex of group schemes

$$M = [\Lambda \xrightarrow{u} G],$$

where Λ is a k-lattice (*i.e.*, a group scheme such that $\Lambda(\overline{k})$ is a discrete $Gal(\overline{k}/k)$-module isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r$ as an abelian group and the canonical morphism $\Lambda(\overline{k}) \times spec\,\overline{k} \to \Lambda$ is an isomorphism) and G is a semi-abelian k-scheme. Morphisms between 1-motives are given by commutative squares. The (additive) category of 1-motives is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{M}_1(k)$. The category $\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is abelian.

For an abelian group scheme H over k we denote by $\underline{H}$ the corresponding étale sheaf on Sm_k

$$\underline{H}(X) := Hom(X, H).$$

If the neutral component H^0 is quasi-projective the sheaf $\underline{H}$ has a unique structure of a sheaf with transfers ([BK1], Lemma 1.3.2). Let

$$\tilde{Tot} : C^b(\mathcal{M}_1) \to C^b(Sh^{et}_{tr}) \tag{2.24}$$

be the DG functor that takes a 1-motive $[\Lambda \xrightarrow{u} G] \in \mathcal{M}_1 \subset C^b(\mathcal{M}_1)$ to the complex

$$\underline{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{u} \underline{G}.$$

As a complex, $\underline{\Lambda}$ is placed in degree 0 and $\underline{G}$ in degree 1. We introduce a structure of an exact category on $\mathcal{M}_1$: a complex $M^{\cdot} \in C^b(\mathcal{M}_1)$ is said to be acyclic if $\tilde{Tot}(M^{\cdot})$ is acyclic. [8] The homotopy category of acyclic complexes is strongly generated by short exact sequences. Let $D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ be the derived DG category of $\mathcal{M}_1$. We get a DG quasi-functor

$$D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1) \to D^b_{dg}(Sh^{et}_{tr}) \xrightarrow{(2.5)} D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k;\mathbb{Z}). \tag{2.25}$$

Let $d_{\leq 1}D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;\mathbb{Z})$ be the homotopy idempotent completion of the full subcategory of $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;\mathbb{Z})$ strongly generated by motives of smooth curves. Then, according to ([BK1], Theorem 2.1.2) the functor (2.25) induces a homotopy equivalence between $D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ and $d_{\leq 1}D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, the functor (2.25) factors through the subcategory of geometric motives:

$$Tot_{\mathbb{Z}} : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1) \hookrightarrow D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;\mathbb{Z}).$$

For a subring $A \subset \mathbb{Q}$ we set

$$Tot_A : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes A) \longleftarrow D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1) \otimes A \xrightarrow{Tot} D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm}(k;A).$$

The left arrow is a homotopy equivalence ([BK1], Corollary 1.6.2). As in the integral case, Tot_A is a homotopy equivalence between $D^b(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes A)$ and $d_{\leq 1}D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A) \subset D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}(k;A)$. For $A = \mathbb{Q}$ this result was announced by Voevodsky and proved by Orgogozo [O].

According to ([BK1], §5) the triangulated functor $Ho(Tot_{\mathbb{Q}})$ has a left adjoint functor; thus by Lemma 2.1 so does the functor $Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We denote the left adjoint DG quasi-functor by

$$LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} : D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm}(k;\mathbb{Q}) \to D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

[8] Replacing in (2.24) the étale topology by Zariski one we obtain a stronger exact structure defined in §1.7.

In ([D3], §10.1) Deligne constructed an equivalence of categories

$$\mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} MHS_1^{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{2.26}$$

where $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the full subcategory of the category $MHS^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of mixed polarizable Hodge structures that consists of torsion-free objects of type $\{(0,0),(0,-1),(-1,0),(-1,-1)\}$. As a subcategory of an abelian category $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ inherits an exact structure. It follows easily from ([BK1], Proposition 1.4.1) that (2.26) is, in fact, an equivalence of exact categories. For $k \subset \mathbb{C}$, $A \subset \mathbb{Q}$, we set

$$T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge} : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k)) \to D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(\mathcal{C})) \to D^b(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Z}}),$$

$$T_A^{Hodge} : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k) \otimes A) \overset{T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge} \otimes A}{\longrightarrow} D^b(MHS_1^A).$$

The l-adic realization of 1-motives ([BK1], C.6) will be denoted by

$$T^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}} : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k)) \longrightarrow D^b_{dg}(G, \mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}).$$

3. Proofs

3.1. **Functor $\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}$.** Fix a subring $\mathbb{Z} \subset A \subset \mathbb{Q}$. Recall that a mixed polarizable Hodge structure $(V_A, W_{\cdot} \subset V_{\mathbb{Q}}, F^{\cdot} \subset V_{\mathbb{C}}) \in MHS^A$ is called *effective* if $F^1 = 0$. We will write $MHS^A_{eff} \subset MHS^A$ for the full subcategory of effective Hodge structures. Let

$$\overline{Tot}_A : D^b_{dg}(MHS_1^A) \to D^b_{dg}(MHS^A_{eff})$$

be the functor induced by the embedding $MHS_1^A \subset MHS^A_{eff}$.

Proposition 3.1. *The functor $Ho(\overline{Tot}_A)$ is fully faithful. The functor $\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has a left adjoint functor*

$$\overline{LAlb}_{\mathbb{Q}} : D^b_{dg}(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff}) \to D^b_{dg}(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

which is t-exact i.e., for every $M \in MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff}$, $Ho(\overline{LAlb}_{\mathbb{Q}})(M)$ is isomorphic to an object of $MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}} \subset D^b(MHS_1^{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Proof. It is known that the category MHS^A of polarizable mixed Hodge structures has homological dimension 1 (*i.e.*, $Ext^i(M,N) = 0$ for every $M, N \in MHS^A$ and every $i > 1$. See [Bei], Corollary 1.10). The first claim of the proposition follows from this fact and the next lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an abelian category of homological dimension ≤ 1 and let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be a full abelian subcategory closed under extensions. Then*
a) homological dimension of $\mathcal{A}$ is at most 1
b) the functor $D^b(\mathcal{A}) \to D^b(\mathcal{B})$ is fully faithful.

Proof. a) It is enough to check that for every $M_1, M_2, M_3 \in \mathcal{A}$ the Yoneda product

$$Ext^1_{\mathcal{A}}(M_1, M_2) \times Ext^1_{\mathcal{A}}(M_2, M_3) \to Ext^2_{\mathcal{A}}(M_1, M_3)$$

is 0. In turn, this is equivalent to showing that for every extensions

$$0 \to M_2 \to N_1 \to M_1 \to 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$$0 \to M_3 \to N_2 \to M_2 \to 0$$

there exists an object $P \in \mathcal{A}$ with a 3 step filtration $0 \subset M_3 \subset N_2 \subset P$ such that the extension

$$0 \to N_2/M_3 = M_2 \to P/M_3 \to P/N_2 \to 0$$

is isomorphic to (3.1). Since $Ext^2_{\mathcal{B}}(M_1, M_3) = 0$ there exists $P \in \mathcal{B}$ with these properties and since $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is closed under extensions $P \in \mathcal{A}$.
b) It is enough to show that for every $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ and for every $i \geq 0$ the morphism

$$Ext^i_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N) \to Ext^i_{\mathcal{B}}(M, N) \tag{3.2}$$

is an isomorphism. This is true for $i = 0$ because $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ is a full subcategory and for $i = 1$ because $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under extensions. If $i > 1$ both groups in (3.2) are trivial by part a).

□

To prove the existence of $\overline{LAlb}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ we first show that the embedding of abelian categories

$$\overline{tot} : MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_1 \subset MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff}$$

has a left adjoint functor

$$\overline{lalb} : MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff} \to MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_1.$$

The functor $\overline{lalb}$ is going to be the composition

$$MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff} \overset{w_{\geq -2}}{\longrightarrow} MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\geq -2, eff} \overset{\delta}{\longrightarrow} MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_1, \, \overline{lalb} := \delta \circ w_{\geq -2},$$

where $MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\geq -2, eff}$ is a full subcategory of $MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff}$ consisting of mixed Hodge structures that have weights greater or equal than -2, $w_{\geq -2}$ is the functor that takes a Hodge structure V to the quotient $V/W_{-3}V$. The second functor δ is defined as follows. Given $V \in MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{\geq -2, eff}$ there exists a unique decomposition of the pure Hodge structure $W_{-2}V$ into the direct sum of a Hodge-Tate substructure and a substructure $P \subset W_{-2}V$ that has no Hodge-Tate subquotients. The existence follows from the semi-simplicity of the category of pure polarizable Hodge structures. Set $\delta(V) = V/i(P)$. We leave it to the reader to check that that δ is indeed a functor and that the projection $V \to \delta(V)$ extends to a morphism of functors $Id \to \delta$. Composing it with the natural morphism $Id \to w_{\geq -2}$ we get

$$\overline{\nu} : Id \to \overline{tot} \circ \overline{lalb}.$$

Together with the obvious isomorphism

$$\overline{\mu} : \overline{lalb} \circ \overline{tot} \simeq Id$$

the triple $(\overline{lalb}, \overline{\nu}, \overline{\mu})$ is an adjunction datum: the compositions

$$\overline{lalb} \overset{\overline{lalb}\,\overline{\nu}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{lalb} \circ \overline{tot} \circ \overline{lalb} \overset{\overline{\mu}\,\overline{lalb}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{lalb}$$

$$\overline{tot} \overset{\overline{\nu}\,\overline{lalb}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{tot} \circ \overline{lalb} \circ \overline{tot} \overset{\overline{lalb}\,\overline{\mu}}{\longrightarrow} \overline{tot}$$

are identity morphisms. Furthermore, the functors $w_{\geq -2}$, δ are exact and so is the composition $\overline{lalb}$. The functor $\overline{s}$ is also exact. Thus, $(\overline{lalb}, \overline{\nu}, \overline{\mu})$ automatically extends to an adjunction datum $(\overline{LAlb}_{\mathbb{Q}}, \nu, \mu)$ for the derived DG categories. The t-exactness of $\overline{LAlb}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is clear.

□

3.2. **The main theorem.**

Theorem 3. *(1) Let k be a field of characteristic 0 of finite étale homological dimension and l a prime number. Then*

$$T^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot} \simeq R^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}.^{9} \tag{3.3}$$

(2) Let k be a subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ and A a subring of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then

$$\overline{Tot}_A \circ T^{Hodge}_A \simeq R^{Hodge}_A \circ Tot_A \tag{3.4}$$

and the morphism of functors

$$\overline{LAlb}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to T^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \tag{3.5}$$

induced by $R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ T^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an isomorphism.

The rest of this section is devoted to a proof of the theorem.

3.3. **Proof of (3.3).** We shall show that, for every 1-motive $M = [\Lambda \xrightarrow{u} G]$, the modules $H^i(R^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot} \circ Tot(M)) \in Mod(G, \mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot)$ are 0 for $i \neq 0$ and canonically isomorphic to Deligne's l-adic realization of M for $i = 0$. The formula (3.3) would follow from Theorem 1.

Since presheaves $\underline{\Lambda}, \underline{G}$ are homotopy invariant the canonical morphism

$$C^\Delta(Tot(M)) \to Tot(M)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Thus

$$R^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot} \circ Tot(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Lambda(\overline{k}) \xrightarrow{u} G(\overline{k})) \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot \xleftarrow{\alpha} (\Lambda(\overline{k}) \xrightarrow{u+v} G(\overline{k}) \oplus \Lambda(\overline{k}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot$$

$$\xrightarrow{\sim} (G(\overline{k}) \oplus \Lambda(\overline{k}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot[-1] \xrightarrow{\sim} ker(G(\overline{k}) \oplus \Lambda(\overline{k}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{l^\cdot} G(\overline{k}) \oplus \Lambda(\overline{k}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

Here $v : \Lambda(\overline{k}) \to \Lambda(\overline{k}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is the canonical embedding, morphism α sending $\Lambda(\overline{k}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ to zero is a quasi-isomorphism because $\mathbb{Q} \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot \simeq 0$. The module at the right-hand side of the formula is Deligne's l-adic realization of M. This completes the proof of (3.3).

3.4. **Compatibility of $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$ with base change.** When proving the remaining part of the theorem, we may assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$. Indeed, we have the following general result.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $k \subset k'$ be any extension, $A = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Q}$, and let*

$$f^* : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k) \otimes A) \to D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k') \otimes A),$$

$$f^* : D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k; A) \to D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}(k'; A) \tag{3.6}$$

be the corresponding pullback functors §2.3. Then

$$f^* \circ Tot_A \simeq Tot_A \circ f^* : D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k) \otimes A) \to D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm}(k'; A), \tag{3.7}$$

$$f^* \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ f^* : D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm}(k; \mathbb{Q}) \to D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k') \otimes \mathbb{Q}). \tag{3.8}$$

[9] The DG quasi-functors in this formula are viewed as objects of the triangulated category $\mathcal{T}(*, *)$ (see §2.1). This remark also refers to all other isomorphisms below.

Proof. For every abelian group scheme G of finite type over k and for every lattice Λ we have canonical isomorphisms

$$f^{-1}\underline{G} \simeq \underline{G \times spec\, k'}, \quad f^{-1}\underline{\Lambda} \simeq \underline{\Lambda \times spec\, k'}.$$

Formula (3.7) now follows from the commutative diagram in §2.3.

The adjunction property of $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}$ together with (3.7) yield a morphism

$$\alpha : f^* \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \to LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ f^*. \tag{3.9}$$

To prove that α is an isomorphism we shall need the following general property of f^*. Recall from ([V1], Proposition 3.2.8) that for every $E, \in DM_{gm}^{eff}(k; A)$, $G \in DM^{eff}(k; A)$ there is inner Hom-object $\underline{Hom}(E, G) \in DM^{eff}(k; A)$. Set $f_{tr}^* = Ho(f^*)$.

Lemma 3.4. *The morphism*

$$f_{tr}^*(\underline{Hom}(E, G)) \to \underline{Hom}(f_{tr}^*(E), f_{tr}^*(G)) \tag{3.10}$$

defined by the distinguished element of the group

$$Hom(f_{tr}^*(\underline{Hom}(E, G)) \otimes f_{tr}^*(E), f_{tr}^*(G)) \leftarrow Hom(\underline{Hom}(E, G) \otimes E, G).$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Recall from ([BV], §4.4) that the projection

$$P : D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_k)) \to DM^{eff}(k; A)$$

has a right adjoint functor C^M that identifies the category $DM^{eff}(k; A)$ with the right-orthogonal complement

$$I_{tr}^{Zar, \Delta\, \perp}(k) \subset D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_k)).$$

We shall first show that the functor f^{-1} takes $I_{tr}^{Zar, \Delta\, \perp}(k)$ into $I_{tr}^{Zar, \Delta\, \perp}(k') \subset D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_{k'}))$. In fact,

$$f^{-1}(I_{tr}^{Zar\, \perp}(k)) \subset I_{tr}^{Zar\, \perp}(k'), \quad f^{-1}(I_{tr}^{\Delta\, \perp}(k)) \subset I_{tr}^{\Delta\, \perp}(k'). \tag{3.11}$$

Let us just check the first inclusion. Let $G \in I_{tr}^{Zar\, \perp}(k)$, $X \in Sm_{k'}$, $U_1 \cup U_2 = X$ an open covering of a smooth scheme over k', and let

$$MV(U_1, U_2, X) := \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[U_1 \cap U_2] \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[U_1] \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[U_2] \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[X]$$

be the Mayer-Vietoris complex. We have to show that

$$Hom_{D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_{k'}))}(MV(U_1, U_2, X), f^*G) = 0.$$

There exist $Z \in Sm_k$, an open covering $\tilde{U}_1 \cup \tilde{U}_2 = Z$, and a k-morphism $h : X \to Y$ such that $U_i = h^{-1}(\tilde{U}_i)$. We have

$$Hom_{D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_{k'}))}(MV(U_1, U_2, X), f^*G) =$$

$$\underset{X \overset{g}{\to} Y \overset{g'}{\to} Z}{colim}\ Hom_{D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_k))}(MV(g'^{-1}(\tilde{U}_1), g'^{-1}(\tilde{U}_2), Y), G) = 0.$$

Here the colimit is taken over the category of triples $(Y \in Sm_k, g, g')$ with $g \circ g' = h$. Proof of the second inclusion in (3.11) is similar.

As a consequence we see that that the morphism $f^{-1} \circ C^M \to C^M \circ f_{tr}^*$ induced by $P \circ f^{-1} \circ C^M \to f_{tr}^*$ is an isomorphism. Let us also observe a natural isomorphism

$$C^M \underline{Hom}_{DM^{eff}(k;A)}(P(F), G) \simeq \underline{Hom}_{D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_k))}(F, C^M(G))$$

coming from the monoidal structure on the functor P.

Now we are ready to prove the Lemma. We shall check that C^M applied to the morphism (3.10) is an isomorphism. Choosing $F \in D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_k))$ with $P(F) = E$ and using the above remarks we reduce our problem to proving that morphism

(3.12)
$$f^{-1}\underline{Hom}_{D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_k))}(F, C^M(G)) \to \underline{Hom}_{D(PSh_{tr}(Sm_{k'}))}(f^{-1}(F), f^{-1}C^M(G))$$

is an isomorphism [10] . Moreover, it will suffice to show this for $F = A_{tr}[Z]$, where $Z \in Sm_k$. For any $X \in Sm_{k'}$, we have

$$Hom(A_{tr}[X], f^{-1}\underline{Hom}(A_{tr}[Z], C^M(G))) \simeq$$

$$\underset{X \xrightarrow{g} Y}{colim} Hom(A_{tr}[Y \times Z], C^M(G)) \simeq \underset{X \times_k Z \xrightarrow{g'} Y'}{colim} Hom(A_{tr}[Y'], C^M(G))$$

$$\simeq Hom(A_{tr}[X], \underline{Hom}(f^{-1}(A_{tr}[Z]), f^{-1}C^M(G))).$$

□

Let us prove that, for every $M \in DM_{gm}^{eff}(k; \mathbb{Q})$, morphism $Ho(\alpha)(M)$ (see (3.9)) is an isomorphism. Since the functor $\underline{Hom}(\cdot, \mathbb{Q}(1))$ is fully faithful on the subcategory $d_{\leq 1}DM_{gm}^{eff}(k'; \mathbb{Q}) \subset DM_{gm}^{eff}(k'; \mathbb{Q})$ ([BK1], Proposition 4.4.1) it is enough to prove that the morphism

(3.13)
$$\underline{Hom}(Ho(f^* \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}})(M), \mathbb{Q}(1)) \to \underline{Hom}(Ho(Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ f^*)(M), \mathbb{Q}(1))$$

is an isomorphism. By ([BK1], Cor. 6.2.1), for any geometric effective motive N, the morphism

$$\underline{Hom}((Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}})(N), \mathbb{Q}(1)) \to \underline{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Q}(1))$$

induced by $N \to Ho(Tot_b Q \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}})(N)$ is an isomorphism. Applying this to $N = Ho(f^*)(M)$ we see that (3.13) is an isomorphism if and only if so is the morphism

$$\underline{Hom}(Ho(f^* \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}})(M), \mathbb{Q}(1)) \to \underline{Hom}(Ho(f^*)(M), \mathbb{Q}(1)) \tag{3.14}$$

given by $M \to Ho(Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}})(M)$. Lemma 3.4 identifies (3.14) with the pullback of the isomorphism

$$\underline{Hom}(Ho(Tot_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}})(M), \mathbb{Q}(1)) \simeq \underline{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}(1)).$$

□

3.5. **Beginning of the proof of (3.4).** It will suffice to construct isomorphism (3.4) for $A = \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 3.3 we may assume that $k = \mathbb{C}$. Corollary 2.12 together with formula (3.3) imply that for every $M \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$

$$H^i(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) = 0, \quad i \neq 0. \tag{3.15}$$

Thus, by Theorem 1 it is enough to construct an isomorphism

$$H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}) \simeq H^0(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge}) : \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C}) \to MHS_{eff}^{\mathbb{Z}}. \tag{3.16}$$

Every such isomorphism extends uniquely to (3.4).

[10] The proof below shows that $C^M(G)$ can be replaced by an arbitrary complex of presheaves with transfers.

3.6. **Computation of $H_0(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})$.** We shall construct a functorial isomorphism of abelian groups:

(3.17)

$$\Theta_M : H^0(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) \simeq H^0(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ T^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) = ker(\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{g} \stackrel{u \oplus exp}{\longrightarrow} G(\mathbb{C})),$$

where $M = [\Lambda \stackrel{u}{\longrightarrow} G] \in \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{C})$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is the Lie algebra of G, and $exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G(\mathbb{C})$ is the exponential map. In the next subsection we check that Θ_M is compatible with the Hodge structures.

To construct Θ_M we consider an auxiliary functor from the category of 1-motives to the category of complexes of abelian groups that takes M to the complex

$$C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) := cone(\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \to N\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})))[-1],$$

where $N\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))$ is the normalized chain complex of the simplicial abelian group $Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))$. Recall that for every abelian Lie group P the complex $N\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, P)$ computes the homotopy groups of P. In particular,

$$H^i(N\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq -1 \\ \pi_1(G(\mathbb{C})) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We construct a functorial quasi-isomorphism

$$\phi : C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \longrightarrow cone(\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{g} \to G(\mathbb{C}))[-1]$$

as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \to & N_2 Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})) & \stackrel{d_1}{\to} & \Lambda \oplus N_1 Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})) & \stackrel{d_0}{\to} & N_0 Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})) \\ & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow \phi_0 & & \downarrow Id \\ \to & 0 & \to & \Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{g} & \to & G(\mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

Here the map ϕ_0 is the sum of the identity map on $\Lambda(\mathbb{C})$ and the homomorphism

$$N_1\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})) \to \mathfrak{g} \tag{3.18}$$

defined as follows. An element of $N_1 Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))$ is a continuous map $\gamma : \Delta^1 = [0,1] \to G(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\gamma(0) = 0$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} : [0,1] \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the lifting of γ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = 0$. The map (3.18) takes γ to $\tilde{\gamma}(1)$.

Proposition 3.5. *The morphism ϕ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Corollary 3.6. *There is a functorial isomorphism*

$$H^0(\phi) : H^0(C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^0(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ T^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)).$$

Proof. First, we have to show that ϕ is a morphism of complexes.
(*i*) $\phi_0\, d_1 = 0$. Indeed, for every $\nu \in N_1 Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))$ the map $d_1(\nu) : [0,1] \to G(\mathbb{C})$ takes the boundary points 0 and 1 to 0. Moreover, the induced map $S^1 \to G(\mathbb{C})$ is contractible. It follows that $\widetilde{d_1(\nu)}(1) = 0$.
(*ii*) $d_0 = (u \oplus exp)\, \phi_0$. Indeed, for every $(\lambda \oplus \gamma) \in \Lambda \oplus N_1\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))$

$$d_0(\lambda \oplus \gamma) = u(\lambda) \cdot \gamma(1) = (u \oplus exp)\, \phi_0(\lambda \oplus \gamma).$$

To show that ϕ is a quasi-isomorphism consider the morphism

$$N\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}) \stackrel{exp_*}{\longrightarrow} N\, Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})) \tag{3.19}$$

induced by the homomorphism $exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$NMaps(\Delta^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}) \stackrel{s}{\to} C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$$

be the composition of exp_* and the morphism $N\,Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})) \to C_{\mathbb{Z}}^{sing}(M)$. It is easy to see that ϕ factors through the morphism

$$\hat{\phi} : cone(s) \to T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(M).$$

Observe that the map

$$N_i\,Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g}) \stackrel{exp}{\to} N_i\,Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))$$

is an isomorphism for every $i > 0$ (for every continuous map $\Delta^i \to G(\mathbb{C})$ sending all the faces but one to 0 lifts uniquely to a map $\Delta^i \to \mathfrak{g}$ with the same property). It follows that $\hat{\phi}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Since the complex $NMaps(\Delta^{\cdot}, \mathfrak{g})$ is acyclic (for its cohomology groups are the homotopy groups of $\mathfrak{g}$), ϕ is a quasi-isomorphism as well. □

It remains to construct a functorial quasi-isomorphism

$$H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^0(C_{\mathbb{Z}}^{sing}(M)). \tag{3.20}$$

With rational coefficients (3.20) can be easily derived from the Eilenberg-MacLane cube construction. We explain this short proof in §3.7. The integral statement is more involved. Consider the double complex of presheaves with transfers

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda \times \Lambda] & \stackrel{p_1+p_2-m}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle u\times u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G \times G] & \stackrel{p_1+p_2-m}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G], \end{array}$$

where $p_i, m : \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda \times \Lambda] \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda]$ (resp. $p_i, m : \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G \times G] \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G]$) are the maps induced by the projections and the addition operation on Λ (resp. G). Denote by $\tilde{M}$ the associated total complex shifted so that $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G]$ is in cohomological degree 1. We shall use the same notation $\tilde{M}$ for the corresponding motive. The construction of $\tilde{M}$ is functorial: sending M to $\tilde{M}$ we get a (nonadditive) functor from the category of 1-motives to the triangulated category of étale Voevodsky motives. Next, we have a functorial morphism:

$$\tilde{M} \to Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M), \tag{3.21}$$

induced by the map of double complexes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda \times \Lambda] & \to & \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda] & \longrightarrow & \underline{\Lambda} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle u\times u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G \times G] & \to & \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G] & \longrightarrow & \underline{G}. \end{array}$$

Consider the induced map of Betti realizations

$$Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti})(\tilde{M}) \to Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})(M). \tag{3.22}$$

Since the complex $R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ has trivial cohomology in negative degrees (see (3.15)) the map (3.22) canonically factors through the morphism

$$\tau_{\geq 0} Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti})(\tilde{M}) \stackrel{\psi}{\to} Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})(M).$$

Proposition 3.7. *The morphism ψ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Corollary 3.8. *We have a functorial isomorphism*

$$H^0(\psi) : H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti})(\tilde{M}) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})(M).$$

Proof. We shall first prove the proposition in the following two special cases.
(*i*) $G = 0$. In this case the proposition is equivalent to the exactness of the sequence

$$\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \times \Lambda(\mathbb{C})] \to \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda(\mathbb{C})] \to \Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \to 0.$$

(*ii*) $\Lambda = 0$. The exact triangle

$$\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G \times G][-1] \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G][-1] \to \tilde{M} \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G \times G]$$

yields a long exact sequence

$$\to H_1(G(\mathbb{C}) \times G(\mathbb{C})) \overset{p_{1*}+p_{2*}-m_*}{\longrightarrow} H_1(G(\mathbb{C})) \overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow} H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M})) \to$$

$$H_0(G(\mathbb{C}) \times G(\mathbb{C})) \overset{p_{1*}+p_{2*}-m_*}{\longrightarrow} H_0(G(\mathbb{C})) \to H^1(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M})) \to 0.$$

The map $p_{1*} + p_{2*} - m_*$ is 0 on H_1 and an isomorphism on H_0. It follows that the cohomology groups of $R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M})$ are trivial in positive degrees and identified (via the map α) with $H_1(G(\mathbb{C}))$ in degree 0. The following result completes the proof.

Lemma 3.9. *The morphism $i : \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G] \to \underline{G}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism*

$$H_1(G(\mathbb{C}))[1] \simeq R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\underline{G}) \tag{3.23}$$

Proof. By Corollary 2.12 it suffices to show that, for every prime l, i induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$H_1(R_{\mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot}^{et}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G]))[1] \simeq R_{\mathbb{Z}/l^\cdot}^{et}(\underline{G}). \tag{3.24}$$

By definition of l-adic realization (2.19) this amounts to showing that the map

$$H_1(C^\Delta(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G])(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l^n)[1] \to G(\mathbb{C}) \overset{L}{\otimes} \mathbb{Z}/l^n \simeq ker(G(\mathbb{C}) \overset{l^n}{\longrightarrow} G(\mathbb{C}))$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. The latter is a part of generalized Roitman's theorem ([BK1], Theorem 14.2.5). □

To prove the proposition in general, observe that every 1-motive M is an extension of a 1-motive of type (*i*) by a 1-motive of type (*ii*):

$$0 \to M_1 \to M \to M_2 \to 0$$

and for extensions of this special form the sequence

$$\tilde{M}_1 \to \tilde{M} \to \tilde{M}_2$$

is an exact triangle. Hence, in the commutative diagram

(3.25)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M}_1)) & \to & Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M})) & \to & Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M}_2)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\psi} & & \downarrow \\ Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})(M_1) & \to & Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) & \to & Ho(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})(M_2) \end{array}$$

both rows are exact triangles. Since the complexes $R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M}_i)$ are acyclic in positive degrees so is $R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M})$. Thus, it suffices to show that $\tilde{\psi}$ induces an isomorphism on H^0. The diagram (3.25) yields a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M}_1)) & \to & H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M})) & \to & H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M}_2)) & \to 0 \\ \downarrow \psi_1 & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi_2 & \\ H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_1)) & \overset{\beta}{\hookrightarrow} & H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)) & \to & H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M_2)) & \to 0 \end{array}$$

with exact rows (and injective map β). Since ψ_i are isomorphisms so is the map ψ. □

Each term of the complex $\tilde{M}$ is a direct sum of representable presheaves. It follows, that the Betti realization of $\tilde{M}$ is canonically isomorphic to the total complex $C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{M})$ of the following double complex

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda(\mathbb{C})\times\Lambda(\mathbb{C})] & \stackrel{p_1+p_2-m}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda(\mathbb{C})] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle u\times u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G(\mathbb{C})\times G(\mathbb{C})) & \stackrel{p_1+p_2-m}{\longrightarrow} & C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G(\mathbb{C})), \end{array}$$

where $C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(.)$ denotes the singular chain complex of a topological space. Define a morphism

$$R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{M}) = C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{M}) \to C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) \tag{3.26}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda(\mathbb{C})\times\Lambda(\mathbb{C})] & \to & \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda(\mathbb{C})] & \longrightarrow & \Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle u\times u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G(\mathbb{C})\times G(\mathbb{C})) & \to & C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G(\mathbb{C})) & \longrightarrow & N\,Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})). \end{array}$$

Since the complex $C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ is acyclic in positive degrees the morphism (3.26) factors through

$$\tau_{\geq 0} C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tilde{M}) \stackrel{\rho}{\to} C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M).$$

Proposition 3.10. *The morphism ρ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Corollary 3.11. *There is functorial isomorphism*

$$H^0(\rho)\circ H^0(\psi)^{-1} : H^0(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}\circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}})(M) \stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow} H^0(C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)). \tag{3.27}$$

Proof. The argument is parallel to the proof of Proposition 3.7. We only explain the analog of Lemma 3.9.

Lemma 3.12. *The morphism $C^{sing}_{\mathbb{Z}}(G(\mathbb{C})) \to N\,Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C}))$ induces a quasi-isomorphism*

$$H_1(G(\mathbb{C}))[1] \simeq N\,Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})).$$

Proof. The map

$$H_1(G(\mathbb{C}))[1] \to N\,Maps(\Delta^{\cdot}, G(\mathbb{C})) \simeq \pi_1(G(\mathbb{C}))[1]$$

is the left inverse to the Hurewicz isomorphism $\pi_1(G(\mathbb{C}))[1] \to H_1(G(\mathbb{C}))[1]$. □

□

We define Θ_M to be the composition

$$\Theta_M = H^0(\phi)\circ H^0(\rho)\circ (H^0(\psi))^{-1}.$$

3.7. **Remark: $Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}$ via additivization.** The complex defining $\tilde{M}$ is a truncation of the Eilenberg-MacLane cube construction. In fact, one can use the whole Eilenberg-MacLane complex to give a short conceptual proof of (3.20) with rational coefficients.

Let $Fct(Mod(\mathbb{Z}), Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ be the category of all (not necessary additive) functors $F : Mod(\mathbb{Z}) \to Mod(\mathbb{Z})$. Recall from ([LP], §13.2.2; 13.2.6) that the Eilenberg-MacLane cube complex

$$\cdots \to Q_2^{\mathbb{Z}} \to Q_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \to Q_0^{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is a complex over $Fct(Mod(\mathbb{Z}), Mod(\mathbb{Z}))$ whose terms are functors of the form $F(V) = \mathbb{Z}[V^{2^n}]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[V^{2^n}]$ denotes the free abelian group generated by the set V^{2^n}, and whose i-th homology functor $H_i(Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is isomorphic to the stable homology of the Eilenberg-MacLane spaces:

$$H_i(Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Z}})(V) = H_{i+n}(K(V,n)), \quad n \geq i+1. \tag{3.28}$$

In particular, for positive i, $H_i(Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Z}})(V)$ is a torsion group and $H_0(Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Z}})(V)$ is canonically isomorphic to V. It follows that the complex $Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Q}} = Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a resolution of the functor $F(V) = V \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Let $[\Lambda \xrightarrow{u} G] \in \mathcal{M}_1 \subset C^b(\mathcal{M}_1)$ be a 1-motive. The complex of representable presheaves $Q^{\mathbb{Q}}(M)$

$$Q^{\mathbb{Q}}(M)(X) := cone(Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{\Lambda}(X)) \xrightarrow{u} Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Q}}(\underline{G}(X)))[-1], \quad X \in Sm$$

is a resolution of the complex $cone(\underline{\Lambda} \xrightarrow{u} \underline{G})[-1] \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Consider the sheafification $Q^{\mathbb{Q},h}(M)$ of $Q^{\mathbb{Q}}(M)$ for the h-topology on Sm. The sheafification of a representable presheaf $\mathbb{Q}[Y]$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[Y]$ ([V2], Theorem 3.3.5, Proposition 3.3.6); $\underline{\Lambda}$, $\underline{G}$ are already sheaves ([V2], Theorem 3.2.9). Thus, by Proposition 2.8

$$Q^{\mathbb{Q},h}(M) \simeq Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}(M) \tag{3.29}$$

in $DM^{eff}(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Q})$. On the other hand, as every term of $Q^{\mathbb{Q}}(M)$ is a representable presheaf we can compute $R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Betti} \circ Q^{\mathbb{Q},h}(M)$ by applying $C_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sing}$ to $Q^{\mathbb{Q}}(M)$ termwise. The resulted complex is isomorphic to the total complex of the simplicial complex

$$cone(Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Q}}(Maps(\Delta^\cdot, \Lambda(\mathbb{C}))) \to Q_\cdot^{\mathbb{Q}}(Maps(\Delta^\cdot, G(\mathbb{C}))))[-1]$$

Applying (3.28) again we find that $R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Betti} \circ Q^{\mathbb{Q},h}(M)$ is canonically quasi-isomorphic to $C_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sing}(M)$. Summarizing,

$$R_{\mathbb{Q}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}(M) \simeq C_{\mathbb{Q}}^{sing}(M).$$

The above argument expresses the idea that the functor $Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is the additivization of the functor that takes a 1-motive M to the motive $cone(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[\Lambda] \to \mathbb{Q}_{tr}[G])[-1]$. We refer the reader to ([K]) for the definition of additivization.

3.8. **Computation of $H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M))$.** The abelian groups $H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M))$, $H^0(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(M))$ underlie the Hodge structures $H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M))$, $H^0(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Z}} \circ T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge}(M))$ respectfully. Let us show that the isomorphism (3.17) is compatible with the Hodge structures. It will suffice to check that Θ_M^{-1} preserves the weight and Hodge filtrations.

The weight filtration on $H^0(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}} \circ T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge}(M))$ is induced by a filtration on $M = [\Lambda \xrightarrow{u} G]$ by submotives: if G is an extension of an abelian variety A by a torus T, $W_{-2}M = (0,T) \subset W_{-1}M = (0,G) \subset W_0M = M$. The Hodge structure $H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge}(\underline{T})[-1])$ is pure of weight -2 and the Hodge structure $H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge}(\underline{G})[-1])$

has weights $-2, -1$ [11]. Thus, the functoriality of isomorphism (3.17) implies that Θ_M^{-1} preserves the weight filtration.

Let us show that Θ_M^{-1} preserves the Hodge filtration. A choice of a basis for $\Lambda(\mathbb{C})$, $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[S]$, yields a lifting

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\Lambda} & \overset{\tilde{u}=u\circ\delta}{\to} & \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G] \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \delta} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Id} \\ \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda] & \overset{u}{\to} & \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G]. \end{array} \tag{3.30}$$

where the homomorphism $\delta : \underline{\Lambda} = \underline{\mathbb{Z}[S]} \to \underline{\mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]} = \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[\Lambda]$ is defined on generators $s \in S \subset \Lambda$ by the formula

$$\delta(s) = [s] - [0] \in \mathbb{Z}[\Lambda]. \tag{3.31}$$

Set $M' = cone(\tilde{u})[-1]$. The diagram (3.30) yields a morphism $M' \to \tilde{M}$.

Lemma 3.13. *The morphisms $M' \to \tilde{M} \to Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)$ induce*

$$H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(M')) \overset{\alpha}{\simeq} H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\tilde{M})) \overset{\beta}{\simeq} H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)),$$

$$H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge}(M')) \overset{\beta\alpha}{\simeq} H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge} \circ Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M)).$$

Proof. We have already proved in Proposition 3.7 that β is an isomorphism. Thus, it is enough to show that the composition $\beta\alpha$ has the same property. Let us apply the functor $R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}$ to the morphism of exact triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G][-1] & \to & M' & \to & \underline{\Lambda} & \overset{\tilde{u}}{\to} & \mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{G}[-1] & \to & Tot_{\mathbb{Z}}(M) & \to & \underline{\Lambda} & \to & \underline{G} \end{array}$$

The key observation is that the connecting homomorphism

$$\tilde{u}_* : \mathbb{Z}[S(\mathbb{C})] = H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\underline{\Lambda})) \to H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G])) = \mathbb{Z}$$

is 0 [12]. The rest of the proof is an easy diagram chasing combined with the formula (3.23). □

By the lemma is suffices to check that the isomorphism

$$\Theta' = (\Theta_M \circ \beta \circ \alpha) \otimes Id : H^0(R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(M')) \otimes \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Betti}(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$$

is strictly compatible with the Hodge filtration. To do this we construct a smooth G-equivariant compactification $\overline{G}$ of G. Recall that G is an extension of an abelian variety A by an algebraic torus T. Choose an isomorphism $T \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^r$. Then G, viewed as a principal T-bundle over A, gives rise to a vector bundle $E \to A$ (which is a direct sum of r line bundles). Define

$$\overline{G} := \mathbb{P}(E \oplus \mathbf{1}).$$

The complement $\overline{G} - G$ is a normal crossing divisor on $\overline{G}$. Thus, we can view M' as a complex over $\mathbb{Z}[\overline{Sm}]$ (§2.5). The (cohomological) de Rham complex $R_{DR}^*(M')$ is identified with

$$cone(R\Gamma(\overline{G}, \Omega^{\cdot}_{log}) \to \mathbb{C}[S])$$

[11] For the morphism $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}[G] \to \underline{G}$ induces a quasi-isomorphism $H_1(G(\mathbb{C}))[1] \simeq R_{\mathbb{Z}}^{Hodge}(\underline{G})$ (see (3.23)).

[12] This explains the appearance of constant term $-[0]$ in (3.31).

and the Hodge filtration on $R^*_{DR}(M')$ is induced by the stupid filtration on the logarithmic de Rham complex Ω^*_{log}. In particular, $F^1H^0\,R^*_{DR}(M')$ is identified with the space $\Gamma(\overline{G},\Omega^1_{log})$ of global 1-forms on $\overline{G}$ with logarithmic singularities ([D2], Theorem 3.2.5).

The translation action of G on itself extends to a G-action on $\overline{G}$. Every global form $\omega\in\Gamma(\overline{G},\Omega_{log})$ is G-invariant (for G acts trivially on its de Rham cohomology). Conversely, every invariant 1-form on G extends to a 1-form on $\overline{G}$ with logarithmic singularities (for $dim\,G=dim\,F^1H^1_{DR}(G(\mathbb{C}))$). Summarizing, we get an identification γ of $F^1H^0(R^*_{DR}(M'))$ with the cotangent space $\mathfrak{g}^*$. The canonical pairing

$$ker\,(\Lambda\oplus\mathfrak{g}\overset{u\oplus exp}{\longrightarrow}G(\mathbb{C}))\otimes\mathfrak{g}^*\overset{\Theta'^{-1}\otimes\gamma^{-1}}{\longrightarrow}H^0(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M'))\otimes F^1(H^0\,R^*_{DR}(M'))\to\mathbb{C}$$

is given by the formula

$$(\lambda\oplus\theta)\otimes\eta\ \longrightarrow<\theta,\eta>\,.$$

It follows that, the Hodge filtration $F^{-1}\subset H^0(R^{Betti}_{\mathbb{C}}(M'))$ is carried over by Θ' to the kernel of the projection

$$ker\,(\Lambda\oplus\mathfrak{g}\overset{u\oplus exp}{\longrightarrow}G(\mathbb{C}))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{C}\to\mathfrak{g}.$$

This completes the proof of (3.4).

3.9. **Proof of formula (3.5).** Set

$$d_{\leq 1}=Ho(Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}\circ LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}):DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})\to d_{\leq 1}DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})\subset DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q}),$$

$$\overline{d}_{\leq 1}=Ho(\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}}\circ\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}):D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff})\to\overline{d}_{\leq 1}D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff})\subset D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff}),$$

$$R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}=Ho(R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q}}):DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})\to D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff}),$$

where $d_{\leq 1}DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$ (resp. $\overline{d}_{\leq 1}D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff})$) is the smallest strictly full subcategory of $DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$ (resp. $D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff})$) that contains the image of $Tot_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. $\overline{Tot}_{\mathbb{Q}}$). The functor $d_{\leq 1}$ (resp. $\overline{d}_{\leq 1}$) is left adjoint to $d_{\leq 1}DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})\hookrightarrow DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$ (resp. $\overline{d}_{\leq 1}D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff})\hookrightarrow D^b(MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}_{eff})$). We have to show that for every $M\in DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$ the morphism

$$\overline{d}_{\leq 1}\circ R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(M)\overset{\alpha}{\longrightarrow}R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}\circ d_{\leq 1}(M)\tag{3.32}$$

is an isomorphism. It suffices to do this in the case when M is the motive of a smooth connected projective variety. Let X be such a variety. Recall from ([BK1]) the structure of the Albanese motive $d_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X])$. Let $X\to\mathcal{A}_X$ be the canonical morphism from X to the extended Albanese scheme of X ([R1], Section 1). $\mathcal{A}_X$ is a group scheme fitting into the following exact sequence

$$0\to\mathcal{A}^0_X\to\mathcal{A}_X\to\mathbb{Z}\to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{A}^0_X$ is Serre's Albanese abelian variety and $\mathbb{Z}$ is viewed as the discrete group scheme over $\mathbb{C}$. The sheaf with transfers $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X$ represented by $\mathcal{A}_X$ defines an object of the Voevodsky category $DM^{eff}_{gm,et}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Z})$. We shall write $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X\otimes\mathbb{Q}$ for its image in $DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$. It is clear that $\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X\otimes\mathbb{Q}\subset d_{\leq 1}DM^{eff}_{gm}(\mathbb{C},\mathbb{Q})$. Consider the exact triangles

$$P\to\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X]\overset{u}{\longrightarrow}\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X\otimes\mathbb{Q}\to P[1]$$

$$d_{\leq 1}(P)\overset{v}{\longrightarrow}d_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X])\longrightarrow\underline{\mathcal{A}}_X\otimes\mathbb{Q}\to d_{\leq 1}(P)[1],$$

where u is defined as the composition $\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X] \to \mathbb{Q}_{tr}[\mathcal{A}_X] \to \underline{\mathcal{A}}_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. According to ([BK1], Theorem 10.3.2) the second triangle yields a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Hom(d_{\leq 1}(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X]), \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) & \xrightarrow{v^*} & Hom(d_{\leq 1}(P), \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ Pic(X) & \simeq & Hom(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X], \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) & \to & NS_X \otimes \mathbb{Q}, \end{array} \tag{3.33}$$

where NS_X is the Néron-Severi group of X and the map at the bottom line equals the canonical projection $Pic(X) \to NS_X$. Moreover, the induced morphism

$$d_{\leq 1}(P) \to Hom(NS_X, \mathbb{Q})(1)[2]$$

is an isomorphism.

It is enough to prove that (3.32) is an isomorphism for $M = P$. By Lemma 3.9 $H_i R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(P) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $H_i R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(P) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_i R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X])$ for $i > 1$. It follows, that $\overline{d}_{\leq 1} \circ R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(P) = \overline{d}_{\leq 1}(H_2(X))[2]$ is of type $(-1,-1)$. Thus, we only need to show that morphism α^* in the commutative diagram below is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Hom(R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr} \circ d_{\leq 1}(P), \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) & \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} & Hom(\overline{d}_{\leq 1} \circ R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(P), \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ Hom(P, \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) & \xrightarrow{R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}} & Hom(R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(P), \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ NS_X \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{\overline{c}_1} & Hom_{MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}}(H_2(X), \mathbb{Q}(1)), \end{array} \tag{3.34}$$

The next lemma shows that map $\overline{c}_1 : NS_X \otimes \mathbb{Q} = Pic(X)/Pic^0(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \to Hom_{MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}}(H_2(X), \mathbb{Q}(1))$ is induced by the first Chern class. Therefore, by the Lefschetz $(1,1)$ Theorem, $\overline{c}_1$ and α^* are isomorphisms.

Lemma 3.14. *The diagram below is commutative.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Hom(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X], \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) & \xrightarrow{R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}} & Hom(R^{Hodge}_{\mathbb{Q},tr}(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[X]), \mathbb{Q}(1)[2]) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ Pic(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} & \xrightarrow{c_1} & Hom_{MHS^{\mathbb{Q}}}(H_2(X), \mathbb{Q}(1)), \end{array} \tag{3.35}$$

Here c_1 denotes the first Chern class map.

Proof. As X is projective, $Pic(X)$ is generated by very ample line bundles. This reduces the proof to the case when $X = \mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}$. Moreover, since $H^2(\mathbb{P}^n_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{Q})$, it suffices to prove the commutativity of the diagram for $X = \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $v \in Hom(\mathbb{Q}_{tr}[\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}}], \mathbb{Q}(1)[2])$ being the projection to the direct summand. In this case the lemma is true by definition. □

The main theorem is proven.

Notation. $DGcat$, $Ho(\mathcal{C})$, $Ho(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2)$, $\underset{\rightarrow}{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mathcal{C}^{perf}$, $\mathcal{C}^{pretr}$, $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{C})$, $D_{dg}(\mathcal{E})$, $C_{dg}(\mathcal{E})$ §2.1; Sm_k, $A_{tr}[Sm_k]$, PSh_{tr}, $A_{tr}[X]$, I^{Δ}_{tr}, I^{et}_{tr}, $I^{et,\Delta}_{tr}$, $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{et}$, $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}_{gm,et}$, $D\mathcal{M}^{eff}$, DM^{eff}_{et}, $DM^{eff}_{gm,et}$, DM^{eff}, Sh^{et}_{tr} §2.2; $A[Sm_k]$, I^h_{tr} §2.4; $A[\overline{Sm}]$ §2.5; C^{sing}_A, R^{Betti}_A §2.7; MHS^A, MHS^A_{eff}, R^{Hodge}_A §2.8; C^{Δ}, $D_{dg}(G, A)$, $\mathcal{S}_A$, $D_{dg}(G, \mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot})$, $R^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}}$ §2.9; $\mathcal{M}_1(k)$, $D^b_{dg}(\mathcal{M}_1(k))$, Tot_A, $LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}$, T^{Hodge}_A, $T^{et}_{\mathbb{Z}/l^{\cdot}}$ §2.11; $\overline{LAlb_{\mathbb{Q}}}$, $\overline{Tot_A}$ §3.1.

References

[AM] M. Atiyah, I. Macdonald, *Introduction to Commutative Algebra,* Westveiw Press (1969).

[BK1] L. Barbieri-Viale, B. Kahn, *On the derived category of 1-motives, I*, arXiv:0706.1498v1.

[BK2] L. Barbieri-Viale, B. Kahn, *On the derived category of 1-motives, II*, In preparation.

[BRS] L. Barbieri-Viale, A. Rosenschon, M. Saito, *Deligne's conjecture on 1-motives,* Ann. of Math. (2) 158 (2003), no. 2.

[Bei] A. Beilinson, *Notes on absolute Hodge cohomology,* Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Contemp. Math., 55, Amer. Math. Soc. (1986).

[BV] A. Beilinson, and V. Vologodsky, *A guide to Voevodsky's motives.* Geom. Funct. Anal. 17 (2008), no. 6, 1709-1787.

[D2] P.Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge 2*, Publ. Math. IHES 40 (1971).

[D3] P.Deligne, *Théorie de Hodge 3*, Publ. Math. IHES 44 (1974).

[DG] P. Deligne, A. Goncharov, *Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 38 (2005), no. 1, 1–56.

[Ben] J. Bénabou, *Introduction to bicategories,* Reports of the Midwest Category Seminar (1967), 177, (Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 47). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.

[DT] A. Dold, R. Thom: *Quasifaserungen und unendliche symmtrische Produkte*, Ann. Math. 67 (1956).

[Dri] V.Drinfeld, *DG quotients of DG categories* J. Algebra 272 (2004), no. 2, 643-691.

[Hu1] A. Huber, *Realization of Voevodsky's motives*, J. Algebraic Geom. 9 (2000), no. 4.

[Hu2] A. Huber, *Corrigendum to: "Realization of Voevodsky's motives"* , J. Algebraic Geom. 13 (2004), no. 1.

[KS] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Categories and sheaves*, A series of comprehensive studies in Mathematics, v. 332, Springer.

[K] B. Keller, *On differential graded categories,* International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 151–190, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2006.

[L] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic Homology*, second ed., Springer (1998).

[LP] J.-L. Loday, T. Pirashvili, Chapter 13 in [L].

[MVW] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, C. Weibel, *Lectures Notes on Motivic Cohomology*, Clay Mathematical Monographs 2, AMS, Providence, RI, 2006.

[N] A. Neeman, *The derived category of an exact category.* J. Algebra 135 (1990), no. 2, 388-394.

[O] F. Orgogozo, *Isomotifs de dimension inférieure ou égale à un*, Manuscripta Math. 115 (2004), no. 3.

[R1] N. Ramachandran, *Duality of Albanese and Picard 1-motives*, K-Theory 22 (2001).

[R2] Ramachandran, *One-motives and a conjecture of Deligne*, J. Algebraic Geom. 13, no. 1, (2004).

[SGA4] *Théorie de Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas*, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1963-1964 (M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, J.L. Verdier, eds.), Springer Lect. Notes in Math. 269,270,305 (1972-1973).

[SV] A. Suslin, V. Voevodsky, *Singular homology of abstract algebraic varieties,* Inv. Math. 123 (1996).

[V1] V. Voevodsky, *Triangulated category of motives over a field*, in "Cycles, Transfers, and Motivic Homology Theories", Annals of Mathematics Studies 143 (2000).

[V2] V. Voevodsky, *Homology of schemes,* Selecta Math. (N.S.) 2 (1996), no. 1.

[Vol] V. Vologodsky, *On the derived DG functors,* arXiv:1004.1918 (2010).